

Structure and Classification of Superconformal Nets

SEBASTIANO CARPI*

Dipartimento di Scienze

Università di Chieti-Pescara “G. d’Annunzio”

Viale Pindaro, 42, I-65127 Pescara, Italy

E-mail: carpi@sci.unich.it

YASUYUKI KAWAHIGASHI†

Department of Mathematical Sciences

University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan

E-mail: yasuyuki@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

ROBERTO LONGO*

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”,

Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 1, I-00133 Roma, Italy

E-mail: longo@mat.uniroma2.it

Abstract

We study the general structure of Fermi conformal nets of von Neumann algebras on S^1 , consider a class of topological representations, the general representations, that we characterize as Neveu-Schwarz or Ramond representations, in particular a Jones index can be associated with each of them. We then consider a supersymmetric general representation associated with a Fermi modular net and give a formula involving the Fredholm index of the supercharge operator and the Jones index. We then consider the net associated with the super-Virasoro algebra and discuss its structure. If the central charge c belongs to the discrete series, this net is modular by the work of F. Xu and we get an example where our setting is verified by considering the Ramond irreducible representation with lowest weight $c/24$. We classify all the irreducible Fermi extensions of any super-Virasoro net in the discrete series, thus providing a classification of all superconformal nets with central charge less than $3/2$.

*Supported by MIUR, GNAMPA-INDAM and EU network “Noncommutative Geometry” MRTN-CT-2006-0031962

†Supported in part by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research, JSPS.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Fermi nets on S^1	5
2.1	Möbius covariant Fermi nets	5
2.2	First consequences	6
2.3	Fermi conformal nets on S^1	9
2.4	DHR representations	10
2.5	σ -Bose and σ -Fermi sectors of the Bosonic subnet	12
2.6	Graded tensor product	13
3	Nets on $\mathbb{R}$ and on a cover of S^1	14
3.1	Nets on $\mathbb{R}$	14
3.2	Nets on a cover of S^1	14
4	Solitons and representations of cover nets	16
4.1	Representations of a net on $S^{1(n)}$	16
4.2	Solitons	17
4.3	Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond representations	18
4.4	Tensor categorical structure. Jones index	22
5	Modular and superconformal invariance	23
5.1	Modular nets	23
5.2	Fermi nets and modularity	24
5.3	Fredholm and Jones index within superconformal invariance	25
6	Super-Virasoro algebra and super-Virasoro nets	27
6.1	Super-Virasoro algebra	27
6.2	Stress-energy tensor	28
6.3	Super-Virasoro nets	29
6.4	The discrete series of super-Virasoro nets	33
6.5	Modularity of local super-Virasoro nets	36
7	Classification of superconformal nets in the discrete series	36
7.1	Outline of classification	37
7.2	Study of type I modular invariants	38
7.3	Classification of the Fermi extensions of the super-Virasoro net, $c < 3/2$	43
A	Appendixes	44
A.1	Topological covers and covering symmetries	44
A.2	McKean-Singer formula	46
B	Outlook	47

1 Introduction

As is well known the rôle of symmetry in Physics is fundamental. Symmetries are usually divided in two types: spacetime and internal symmetries.

If we ignore the effect of gravity that provides spacetime curvature, Special Relativity tells us that our spacetime is the flat Minkowski space and its symmetries are given by the Poincaré group. However, if no massive particle is present, the symmetry group

can be larger. The largest transformation group compatible with locality is the conformal group. The conformal group is a finite-dimensional Lie group; its symmetry action is so stringent that one expects only few conformal Quantum Field Theory models to exist in four dimensions, see [1] and references therein.

A much richer structure comes out in lower dimensional spacetimes. On the two-dimensional Minkowski spacetime the conformal group is infinite dimensional and factors as a product of the diffeomorphism groups of the light-like (chiral) lines $x \pm t = 0$ and acts on their compactification, namely one gets an action of $\text{Diff}(S^1) \times \text{Diff}(S^1)$. Restricting a quantum field to a chiral line gives rise to a quantum field theory on S^1 .

We do not dwell here on crucial rôle played by Conformal Quantum Field Theory in various physical contexts, nor on its deep mathematical connections with other subjects. We mention however a less emphasised rôle of the subject as a laboratory for more general analysis. This is due to the variety of constructed models and the powerful methods of analysis. We are interested here in particular in Operator Algebraic methods whose effectiveness has also been shown by recent classification results and new model constructions [35, 39, 64].

Internal symmetries are also fundamental. In particular, in Quantum Field Theory, they are basically expressed by a gauge group. Concerning the particle-antiparticle symmetry, it showed up with the discovery of the Dirac equation and is, in a sense, a derived symmetry. There are general arguments to link the particle-antiparticle symmetry with the spacetime symmetries, see [26].

Now there is an important higher level symmetry relating spacetime and internal symmetries: supersymmetry, see [57]. Supersymmetry sets up a correspondence between Bose and Fermi particles. Leaving aside important physical aspects of supersymmetry, e.g. in relation to the Higgs particle, we wish to mention that the related mathematical structure has profound noncommutative geometrical implications, see [14, 32].

If one considers a quantum field theory which is both chiral conformal and supersymmetric, one then expects a very stringent interesting structure to show up. Superconformal models have indeed been studied by different approaches. For example, the tricritical Ising model is a basic model with a remarkable structure [20].

The purpose of this paper is to initiate a general, model independent, operator algebraic study of superconformal quantum field theory on the circle and pursue this analysis to the classification of the superconformal nets in the discrete series.

In the first sections we describe the general property of a Fermi conformal net on S^1 . Basic properties, already considered in the non-local case [16, 46], are here described in our context (Bisognano-Wichmann property, twisted Haag duality, ...).

A Fermi net $\mathcal{A}$ contains a local subnet $\mathcal{A}_b$, the Bose subnet (the fixed-point under the grading symmetry) which is automatically equipped with an involutive sector σ , dual to the grading. This splits the sectors of $\mathcal{A}_b$ in two subsets, σ -Bose and σ -Fermi sectors, that can be studied in the standard way as $\mathcal{A}_b$ is local.

For a general model analysis one would like to consider representations of $\mathcal{A}$. There is an obvious extension of the notion of DHR representation to Fermi nets, that we study at the beginning. However, as we shall see, there is more general natural class of representations for Fermi net: the *general representations*. These are representations of the promotion $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ to the n -cover $S^{1(n)}$ of S^1 , that restrict to DHR representations of the Bose subnet $\mathcal{A}_b$. We shall see that a general representation is indeed a representation of the double

cover net $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ and can be equivalently described as a *general soliton*. This splits the general representations in two classes: Neveu-Schwarz (DHR) and Ramond (properly associated with $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$). We shall later see that a representation is Neveu-Schwarz (resp. Ramond) iff it comes by α -extension by a σ -Bose (resp. σ -Fermi) representation of $\mathcal{A}_b$.

Having clarified the structure of the representations of a Fermi net, we consider the case where supersymmetry is present. In particular we consider a *supersymmetric representation* of a conformal Fermi net $\mathcal{A}$, namely a graded general representation λ of $\mathcal{A}$ where

$$\tilde{H}_\lambda \equiv H_\lambda - \frac{c}{24} = Q_\lambda^2 ;$$

here H_λ is the conformal Hamiltonian and Q is an odd selfadjoint operator (the supercharge). In this case the McKean-Singer lemma (Appendix A.2) gives

$$\text{Tr}_s(e^{-t\tilde{H}_\lambda}) = \text{Fredholm index of } Q_{\lambda+} ,$$

for all $t > 0$, where Tr_s denotes the supertrace. In particular the left hand side, also called the Witten index, is an integer, the Fredholm index $\text{ind}(Q_{\lambda+})$ of upper off diagonal part of Q_λ .

If λ is irreducible, its restriction λ_b to $\mathcal{A}_b$ has two irreducible components $\lambda_b = \rho \oplus \rho'$ and we can consider the Jones index of ρ , which is the square of the Doplicher-Haag-Roberts statistical dimension $d(\rho)$ [41].

If $\mathcal{A}_b$ is modular, we can express $d(\rho)$ by the Kac-Peterson Verlinde matrix S as this is equal to the Rehren matrix S . By combining all this information and an argument in [37], we then get the formula

$$\text{ind}(Q_{\lambda+}) = \frac{d(\rho)}{\sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{R}} K(\rho, \nu) d(\nu)$$

where K is a matrix that is related to S , $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the μ -index [38] and the sum is over all Ramond irreducible sectors. This formula thus involves both the Fredholm index and the Jones index.

We then put our attention towards model analysis and aiming firstly to illustrate concrete situations where our general setting is realised.

The infinite-dimensional super-Lie algebra that governs the superconformal symmetries is the super-Virasoro algebra. It is a central extension by a central element c of the Lie algebra generated by the Fourier modes L_n and G_r of the Bose and the Fermi stress-energy tensor. Clearly the L_n generate the Virasoro algebra, thus the super-Virasoro algebra contains the Virasoro algebra; the commutation relation are given by the equations (19). It has been studied in [24, 20].

The super-Virasoro algebra plays for superconformal fields the universal same rôle that the Virasoro algebra plays for local conformal fields. Our initial task is then to construct and analyse the super-Virasoro nets. Following the work [24] we describe the nets; if the central charge c is less than $3/2$ we identify the Bose subnet as a coset, study the representation structure and show the modularity of the net. There is a distinguished representation with lowest weight $c/24$ which is supersymmetric and thus provides an interesting example for our index formula.

Now, if $c < 3/2$ we are in the discrete series [20]; analogously to the local conformal case [35] every superconformal net is an irreducible finite-index extension of a super-Virasoro net. We classify all such extensions. There are two series of extensions, the one given by

the trivial extension and a second one given by index 2 extensions. Beside these there are six exceptional extensions that we describe explicitly.

2 Fermi nets on S^1

In this section we discuss the basic notions for a Fermi conformal net of von Neumann algebras on $S^1 \equiv \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, and their first implications. It is convenient to start by analysing Möbius covariant nets. Note that a general discussion of Möbius covariant net is contained in [16], here however we focus on the Fermi case.

2.1 Möbius covariant Fermi nets

We shall denote by Möb the Möbius group, which is isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}_2$ and acts naturally and faithfully on the circle S^1 . The n -cover of Möb is denoted by $\text{Möb}^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Thus $\text{Möb}^{(2)} \simeq SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\text{Möb}^{(\infty)}$ is the universal cover of Möb .

By an interval of S^1 we mean, as usual, a non-empty, non-dense, open, connected subset of S^1 and we denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set of all intervals. If $I \in \mathcal{I}$, then also $I' \in \mathcal{I}$ where I' is the interior of the complement of I .

A net $\mathcal{A}$ of von Neumann algebras on S^1 is a map

$$I \in \mathcal{I} \mapsto \mathcal{A}(I)$$

from the set of intervals to the set of von Neumann algebras on a (fixed) Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which verifies the *isotony property*:

$$I_1 \subset I_2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(I_1) \subset \mathcal{A}(I_2)$$

where $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$.

A *Möbius covariant net* $\mathcal{A}$ of von Neumann algebras on S^1 is a net of von Neumann algebras on S^1 such that the following properties 1 – 4 hold:

1. **MÖBIUS COVARIANCE:** *There is a strongly continuous unitary representation U of $\text{Möb}^{(\infty)}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^* = \mathcal{A}(\dot{g}I), \quad g \in \text{Möb}^{(\infty)}, I \in \mathcal{I},$$

where $\dot{g}$ is the image of g in Möb under the quotient map.

2. **POSITIVITY OF THE ENERGY :** *The generator of the rotation one-parameter subgroup $\theta \mapsto U(\text{rot}^{(\infty)}(\theta))$ (conformal Hamiltonian) is positive, namely U is a positive energy representation*¹.
3. **EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF THE VACUUM:** *There exists a unit U -invariant vector Ω (vacuum vector), unique up to a phase, and Ω is cyclic for the von Neumann algebra $\vee_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A}(I)$*

¹Here $\text{rot}^{(n)}(\theta)$ is the lift to $\text{Möb}^{(n)}$ of the θ -rotation $\text{rot}(\theta)$ of Möb . For shortness we sometime write $\text{rot}^{(n)}(\theta)$ simply by $\text{rot}(\theta)$ and $U(\theta) = U(\text{rot}(\theta))$.

Given a Möbius covariant net $\mathcal{A}$ in S^1 , a unitary Γ such that $\Gamma\Omega = \Omega$, $\Gamma\mathcal{A}(I)\Gamma^* = \mathcal{A}(I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$ is called a gauge unitary and the adjoint net automorphism $\gamma \equiv \text{Ad}\Gamma$ a *gauge automorphism*².

A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on $\mathcal{A}$ is an involutive gauge automorphism γ of $\mathcal{A}$. Given the grading γ , an element x of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\gamma(x) = \pm x$ is called homogeneous, indeed a Bose or Fermi element according to the $\pm$ alternative. We shall say that the degree ∂x of the homogeneous element x is 0 in the Bose case and 1 in the Fermi case.

Every element x of $\mathcal{A}$ is uniquely the sum $x = x_0 + x_1$ with $\partial x_k = k$, indeed $x_k = (x + (-1)^k \gamma(x))/2$.

A *Möbius covariant Fermi net* $\mathcal{A}$ on S^1 is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Möbius covariant net satisfying graded locality, namely a Möbius covariant net of von Neumann algebras on S^1 such that the following holds:

4. **GRADED LOCALITY:** *There exists a grading automorphism γ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that, if I_1 and I_2 are disjoint intervals,*

$$[x, y] = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}(I_1), y \in \mathcal{A}(I_2) .$$

Here $[x, y]$ is the graded commutator with respect to the grading automorphism γ defined as follows: if x, y are homogeneous then

$$[x, y] \equiv xy - (-1)^{\partial x \cdot \partial y} yx$$

and, for the general elements x, y , is extended by linearity.

Note the *Bose subnet* $\mathcal{A}_b$, namely the γ -fixed point subnet $\mathcal{A}^\gamma$ of degree zero elements, is local. Moreover, setting

$$Z \equiv \frac{1 - i\Gamma}{1 - i}$$

we have that the unitary Z fixes Ω and

$$\mathcal{A}(I') \subset Z\mathcal{A}(I)'Z^*,$$

(twisted localiy w.r.t. Z), that is indeed equivalent to graded locality.

Remark. Strictly speaking a Möbius covariant net is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, U)$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is a net and U is a unitary representation of Möb that satisfy the above properties. In most cases it is convenient to denote the Möbius covariant net simply by $\mathcal{A}$ and then consider the unitary representation U (note that U is unique once we fix the vacuum vector). However, later in this paper, it will be convenient to use the notation $(\mathcal{A}, U)$ (also in the diffeomorphism covariant case).

2.2 First consequences

We collect here a few first consequences of the axioms of a Möbius covariant Fermi net on S^1 . They can be mostly derived by a simple extension of the proofs in the local case, cf. [16, 46].

²The requirement $\Gamma\Omega = \Omega$ (up to a phase that can be put equal to one) is equivalent to $\Gamma U(g) = U(g)\Gamma$ by the uniqueness of the representation U in Cor. 3; we shall use the second formulation in the non-vacuum case.

Reeh-Schlieder theorem

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Möbius covariant Fermi net on S^1 . Then Ω is cyclic and separating for each von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}(I)$, $I \in \mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. The cyclicity of Ω follows exactly as in the local case. By twisted locality, then Ω is separating too. $\square$

Bisognano-Wichmann property

If $I \in \mathcal{I}$, we shall denote by Λ_I the one parameter subgroup of Möb of “dilation associated with I ”, see [5]. We shall use the same symbol also to denote the unique one parameter subgroup of $\text{Möb}^{(n)}$ (n finite or infinite) that project onto Λ_I under the quotient map. The following theorem and its corollary are proved as usual, see [16].

Theorem 2. *Let $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and Δ_I , J_I be the modular operator and the modular conjugation of $(\mathcal{A}(I), \Omega)$. Then we have:*

(i):

$$\Delta_I^{it} = U(\Lambda_I(-2\pi t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

(ii): U extends to an (anti-)unitary representation of $\text{Möb}^{(\infty)} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ determined by

$$U(r_I) = ZJ_I, \quad I \in \mathcal{I},$$

acting covariantly on $\mathcal{A}$, namely

$$U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^* = \mathcal{A}(gI) \quad g \in \text{Möb}^{(\infty)} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Here $r_I : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ is the reflection mapping I onto I' , see [5].

Corollary 3. (Uniqueness of the Möbius representation) *The representation U is unique.*

Corollary 4. (Additivity) *Let I and I_i be intervals with $I \subset \cup_i I_i$. Then $\mathcal{A}(I) \subset \vee_i \mathcal{A}(I_i)$.*

Remark. If $E \subset S^1$ is any set, we denote by $\mathcal{A}(E)$ the von Neumann algebra generated by the $\mathcal{A}(I)$ ’s as I varies in the intervals $I \in \mathcal{I}$, $I \subset E$. It follows easily by Möbius covariance that if $I_0 \in \mathcal{I}$ has closure $\bar{I}_0$, then $\mathcal{A}(\bar{I}_0) = \bigcap_{I \supset \bar{I}_0, I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A}(I)$.

Twisted Haag duality

Theorem 5. *For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, we have:*

$$\mathcal{A}(I') = Z\mathcal{A}(I)'Z^*$$

Proof. As already noted twisted locality $\mathcal{A}(I)' \supset Z\mathcal{A}(I')Z^*$ holds as a consequence of the Bose-Fermi commutation relations. By the Bisognano-Wichmann property, $Z\mathcal{A}(I')Z^*$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}(I)'$ globally invariant under the vacuum modular group. As the vacuum vector is cyclic for $\mathcal{A}(I')$ by the Reeh-Schlieder theorem, we have $\mathcal{A}(I)' = Z\mathcal{A}(I')Z^*$ by the Tomita-Takesaki modular theory. $\square$

In the following corollary, the grading and the graded commutator is considered on $B(\mathcal{H})$ w.r.t. $\text{Ad}\Gamma$.

Corollary 6. $\mathcal{A}(I') = \{x \in B(\mathcal{H}) : [x, y] = 0 \ \forall y \in \mathcal{A}(I)\}$.

Proof. Set $X \equiv \{x \in B(\mathcal{H}) : [x, y] = 0 \ \forall y \in \mathcal{A}(I)\}$. We have to show that $X \subset \mathcal{A}(I')$. If $x = x_0 + x_1 \in X$ then $Z^*xZ = x_0 - ix_1\Gamma$. As $[x, y] = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ it follows that $Z^*xZ \in \mathcal{A}(I)'$ so $x \in Z\mathcal{A}(I)'Z^* = \mathcal{A}(I')$. $\square$

Irreducibility

We shall say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *irreducible* if the von Neumann algebra $\vee \mathcal{A}(I)$ generated by all local algebras coincides with $B(\mathcal{H})$.

The irreducibility property is indeed equivalent to several other requirements.

Proposition 7. *Assume all properties 1 – 4 for $\mathcal{A}$ except the uniqueness of the vacuum. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathbb{C}\Omega$ are the only U -invariant vectors.
- (ii) The algebras $\mathcal{A}(I)$, $I \in \mathcal{I}$, are factors. In particular they are type III_1 factors (or $\dim \mathcal{H} = 1$).
- (iii) The net $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible.

Proof. See [16]. $\square$

Vacuum spin-statistics relation

The relation $U(2\pi) = 1$ holds in the local case [27]; in the graded local case it generalizes to

$$U(4\pi) = 1 ,$$

where $U(s) \equiv U(\text{rot}(s)) = e^{isL_0}$ is the rotation one-parameter unitary group, see [16]. Indeed we have the following.

Proposition 8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be Möbius covariant Fermi net. Then:*

$$U(2\pi) = \Gamma .$$

Proof. By restricting to $\mathcal{A}_b$ the identity representation of $\mathcal{A}$ we get the direct sum $\iota \oplus \sigma$ of the identity and an automorphism. Clearly σ has Fermi statistics because $\mathcal{A}$ has Bose-Fermi commutation relations. Thus $U = U_\iota \oplus U_\sigma$; by the conformal spin-statistics theorem [27] we then have $U(2\pi) = U_\iota(2\pi) \oplus U_\sigma(2\pi) = 1 \oplus -1 = \Gamma$. $\square$

Corollary 9. (Uniqueness of the grading) *The grading automorphism is unique.*

Proof. Immediate from the uniqueness of the Möbius unitary representation and the spin-statistics relation $U(2\pi) = \Gamma$. $\square$

2.3 Fermi conformal nets on S^1

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a Möbius covariant Fermi net on S^1 then, by the spin-statistics relation, the unitary representation of $\text{Möb}^{(\infty)}$ is indeed a representation of $\text{Möb}^{(2)}$. As Möb is naturally a subgroup of the group $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of S^1 , clearly $\text{Möb}^{(2)}$ is naturally a subgroup of $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$, the 2-cover of $\text{Diff}(S^1)$.

Given an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$, we shall denote by $\text{Diff}_I(S^1)$ the subgroup of diffeomorphisms g of S^1 localised in I , namely $g(t) = t$ for all $t \in I'$, and by $\text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1)$ the connected component of the identity of the pre-image of $\text{Diff}_I(S^1)$ in $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$.

A *Fermi conformal net* $\mathcal{A}$ (of von Neumann algebras) on S^1 is a Möbius covariant Fermi net of von Neumann algebras on S^1 such that the following holds:

5. **DIFFEOMORPHISM COVARIANCE:** *There exists a projective unitary representation U of $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ on $\mathcal{H}$, extending the unitary representation of $\text{Möb}^{(2)}$, such that*

$$U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^* = \mathcal{A}(\dot{g}I), \quad g \in \text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1), \quad I \in \mathcal{I},$$

and

$$U(g)xU(g)^* = x, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}(I'), \quad g \in \text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1), \quad I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Here $\dot{g}$ denotes the image of g in $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ under the quotient map.

Lemma 10. *For every $g \in \text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ we have $U(g)\Gamma = \Gamma U(g)$. In particular, if $g \in \text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1)$, then $U(g) \in \mathcal{A}_b(I)$.*

Proof. As $\Gamma = U(2\pi)$, we have $\Gamma U(g)\Gamma^* = U(2\pi)U(g)U(2\pi)^* = \chi(g)U(g)$ for all $g \in \text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$, where χ is a continuous scalar function on $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$. As $\Gamma^2 = 1$, we have $\chi(g)^2 = 1$ for all g , thus $\chi(g) = 1$ because $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ is connected and $\chi(g) = 1$ is g is the identity.

With $g \in \text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1)$, then $U(g)$ commutes with Γ , hence with Z . By the covariance condition $U(g)$ commutes with $\mathcal{A}(I')$, hence with $Z\mathcal{A}(I')Z^*$, so $U(g) \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ by twisted Haag duality. $\square$

By the above lemma, the representation of the diffeomorphism group belongs to the Bose subnet, so we may apply the uniqueness result in the local case in [13] and get the following:

Corollary 11. (Uniqueness of the diffeomorphism representation) *The projective unitary representation U of $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ is unique (up to a projective phase).*

Proof. With $E = (1 + \Gamma)/2$ the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{\mathcal{A}_b\Omega}$, clearly $U|_{E\mathcal{H}}$ is the projective unitary representation of $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ associated with $\mathcal{A}_b$, unique by [13, 56]. As Ω is separating for the local algebras, $U(g)E$ determines $U(g)$ if $g \in \text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1)$ for any interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$. By Prop. 38, as I varies, $\text{Diff}_I(S^1)$ algebraically generates all $\text{Diff}(S^1)$, and U is so determined up to a phase. $\square$

2.4 DHR representations

There is a natural notion of representation for a Fermi net which is the straightforward extension of the notion of representation for a local net, see (2) here below. We shall see in later sections that it is important to consider also more general representations when dealing with a Fermi net. In this section, however, we deal with the most obvious notion.

In the following we assume that $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fermi conformal net, although certain notions and results are obviously valid in the Möbius covariant case.

A *representation* λ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a map $I \rightarrow \lambda_I$ that associates to an interval I of S^1 a normal³ representation λ_I of $\mathcal{A}(I)$ on a fixed Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ such that

$$\lambda_{\tilde{I}}|_{\mathcal{A}(I)} = \lambda_I, \quad I \subset \tilde{I}. \quad (2)$$

We shall say that a representation λ on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is *diffeomorphism covariant* if there exists a projective unitary representation U_λ of the universal cover $\text{Diff}^{(\infty)}(S^1)$ of $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ such that

$$\lambda_{\dot{g}I}(U(g)xU(g)^*) = U_\lambda(g)\lambda_I(x)U_\lambda(g)^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}(I), \forall g \in \text{Diff}^{(\infty)}(S^1).$$

Here $\dot{g}$ denotes the image of g in $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ under the quotient map. A Möbius covariant representation is analogously defined.

As we shall later deal with more general representations, we may sometime emphasise that we are considering a representation λ as above, by saying that λ is a DHR representation, the ‘DHR’ being however pleonastic.

We shall say that a representation λ on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is *graded* if there exists a unitary Γ_λ on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ such that

$$\lambda_I(\gamma(x)) = \Gamma_\lambda \lambda_I(x) \Gamma_\lambda^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}(I),$$

for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$. As γ is involutive one may then also choose a selfadjoint Γ_λ .

Proposition 12. *Let λ be an irreducible DHR representation of the Fermi conformal net $\mathcal{A}$. Then λ is graded and diffeomorphism covariant with positive energy. Moreover we may take $\Gamma_\lambda = U_\lambda(2\pi)$.*

Proof. We first use an argument in [35]. Let $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $g \in \text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1)$. For every $x \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{I})$ with $\tilde{I} \supset I$ we have $\lambda_I(U(g))\lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)\lambda_I(U(g))^* = \lambda_{\tilde{I}}(U(g)xU(g)^*) = \lambda_{gI}(U(g)xU(g)^*)$. As the group generated by $\text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1)$ as I varies in $\mathcal{I}$ is the entire $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$, we see every diffeomorphism is implemented in the representation λ by a unitary $U_\lambda(g)$, which is equal to $\lambda_I(U(g))$ if g is localised in I .

It remains to show that, by multiplying $U_\lambda(g)$ by a phase factor, we can get a continuous projective representation with positive energy. In the local case, the automatic diffeomorphism covariance is proved in [15] and the automatic positivity of the energy in [55]. Let U_{λ_b} be the covariance unitary representation associated with $\lambda_b \equiv \lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_b}$. If g is localised in I then $U_\lambda(g)U_{\lambda_b}(g)^* \in \lambda_I(\mathcal{A}_b(I)' \cap \mathcal{A}(I)) = \mathbb{C}$ (cf. Lemma 13), so we are done by replacing U_λ with U_{λ_b} .

Finally notice that, by diffeomorphism covariance, it follows that

$$U_\lambda(2\pi)\lambda_I(x)U_\lambda(2\pi)^* = \lambda_I(\gamma(x))$$

due to Prop. 8. So we may take $\Gamma_\lambda = U_\lambda(2\pi)$. □

³The normality of λ_I is automatic if $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ is separable because $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is a type III factor.

A *localised endomorphism* of $\mathcal{A}$ is an endomorphism ρ of the universal C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\rho|_{\mathcal{A}(I')}$ is the identity for some $I \in \mathcal{I}$ (then we say that ρ is localised in the interval I). In other words, ρ is a representation such that $\rho_{I'} = \iota$ and $\rho_{\tilde{I}}$ maps $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{I})$ into itself if $\tilde{I} \supset I$. This last property is automatic by Haag duality in the local case, and we now see to hold also in the Fermi case.

Next lemma shows that the grading is locally outer. This applies indeed to every gauge automorphism, see [11, 58].

Lemma 13. *Given any interval I , $\gamma|_{\mathcal{A}(I)}$ is an outer automorphism (unless the grading is trivial). As a consequence $\mathcal{A}_b(I)' \cap \mathcal{A}(I) = \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\gamma|_{\mathcal{A}(I)}$ is inner; then there exist unitaries $u \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ and $u' \in \mathcal{A}(I)'$ such that $\Gamma = u'u$. In fact u and u' are unique up to a phase factor because $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is a factor. Now Γ commutes with the Möbius unitary group, so $\Delta_I^{it}u\Omega = e^{iat}u\Omega$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. But $\log \Delta_I$ has no non-zero eigenvalue (see [16]), so $u\Omega \in \mathbb{C}\Omega$, thus u is a scalar γ is the identity. $\square$

The following proposition generalizes to the Fermi case the well known DHR argument for the correspondence between representations and localised endomorphisms on the Minkowski space.

Proposition 14. *Let π be a representation of the Fermi conformal net $\mathcal{A}$ on S^1 , and suppose the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ to be separable. Given an interval I , there exists a localised endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ unitarily equivalent to π .*

Proof. Let Γ be the unitary, Ω -fixing implementation of γ . As $\gamma|_{\mathcal{A}(I')}$ is outer, the algebra $\langle \mathcal{A}(I'), \Gamma \rangle$ generated by $\mathcal{A}(I')$ and Γ is the von Neumann algebra crossed product of $\mathcal{A}(I')$ by $\gamma|_{\mathcal{A}(I')}$.

Now π is graded and normal so, by choosing Γ_π selfadjoint, also the algebra $\langle \pi_{I'}(\mathcal{A}(I')), \Gamma_\pi \rangle$ generated by $\pi_{I'}(\mathcal{A}(I'))$ and Γ_π is naturally isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra crossed product of $\mathcal{A}(I')$ by $\gamma|_{\mathcal{A}(I')}$.

So there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \langle \mathcal{A}(I'), \Gamma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \pi_{I'}(\mathcal{A}(I')), \Gamma_\pi \rangle$ such that $\Phi|_{\mathcal{A}(I')} = \pi_{I'}$ and $\Phi(\Gamma) = \Gamma_\pi$, namely

$$\Phi : x + y\Gamma \mapsto \pi_{I'}(x) + \pi_{I'}(y)\Gamma_\pi, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{A}(I').$$

As $\mathcal{A}(I')$ is a type III factor, also $\langle \mathcal{A}(I'), \Gamma \rangle$ is a type III factor. Thus Φ is spatial and there exists a unitary $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\pi$ such that $\Phi(x) = UxU^*$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}(I')$.

Set $\rho \equiv U^*\pi(\cdot)U$. Clearly ρ is a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ that is unitarily equivalent to π and such that $\rho_{I'}$ acts identically on $\mathcal{A}(I')$.

Moreover $\rho \cdot \gamma = \text{Ad}\Gamma \cdot \rho$. Indeed, since $U^*\Gamma_\pi = \Gamma U^*$, we have

$$\rho \cdot \gamma = \text{Ad}U^* \cdot \pi \cdot \text{Ad}\Gamma = \text{Ad}U^*\Gamma_\pi \cdot \pi = \text{Ad}\Gamma U^* \cdot \pi = \text{Ad}\Gamma \cdot \rho.$$

With $x \in \mathcal{A}(I)$, we now want to show that $\rho_I(x) \in \mathcal{A}(I)$. Indeed for all $y \in \mathcal{A}(I_0)$ with $\bar{I}_0 \subset I'$ we have $[x, y] = 0$, where the brackets denote the graded commutator. Therefore, choosing an interval $\tilde{I} \supset I' \cup I_0$, we have

$$[\rho_I(x), y] = [\rho_{\tilde{I}}(x), \rho_{\tilde{I}}(y)] = \rho_{\tilde{I}}([x, y]) = 0.$$

It then follows by Cor. 6 that $\rho_I(x) \in \mathcal{A}(I)$. $\square$

2.5 σ -Bose and σ -Fermi sectors of the Bosonic subnet

As above, let γ be the vacuum preserving, involutive grading automorphism of the Fermi net $\mathcal{A}$ on S^1 as above and $\mathcal{A}_b$ the fixed-point subnet. We denote by σ a representative of the sector of $\mathcal{A}_b$ dual to γ . Choosing an interval $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}$, there is a unitary

$$v \in \mathcal{A}(I), v^* = v, \gamma(v) = -v.$$

Then we may take $\sigma \equiv \text{Ad}v|_{\mathcal{A}_b}$, so σ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_b$ localised in I_0 . We have $d(\sigma) = 1$ and $\sigma^2 = 1$.

Given DHR endomorphisms μ and ν of $\mathcal{A}_b$ we denote by $\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)$ the right (clockwise) statistics operator (see [50, 27]).

The *monodromy operator* is given by

$$m(\mu, \nu) \equiv \varepsilon(\mu, \nu)\varepsilon(\nu, \mu).$$

Note that if μ is localised left to ν , then $\varepsilon(\nu, \mu) = 1$ and thus $m(\mu, \nu) = \varepsilon(\mu, \nu)$.

We shall also set

$$K(\mu, \nu) \equiv \Phi_\nu(m(\nu, \mu)^*) = \Phi_\nu(\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)^*\varepsilon(\nu, \mu)^*)$$

where Φ_ν is the left inverse of ν . As $\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) \in \text{Hom}(\mu\nu, \nu\mu)$, we have $m(\mu, \nu) \in \text{Hom}(\nu\mu, \nu\mu)$, therefore $K(\mu, \nu) \in \text{Hom}(\mu, \mu)$ and so, if μ is irreducible, $K(\mu, \nu)$ is a complex number with modulus ≤ 1 .

Let μ be an irreducible endomorphism localised left to σ . As $\varepsilon(\mu, \sigma) \in \text{Hom}(\mu\sigma, \sigma\mu)$ and σ and μ commute, it follows that $\varepsilon(\mu, \sigma)$ is scalar. Denoting by ι the identity sector, by the braiding fusion relation we have

$$1 = \varepsilon(\mu, \iota) = \varepsilon(\mu, \sigma^2) = \sigma(\varepsilon(\mu, \sigma))\varepsilon(\mu, \sigma) = \varepsilon(\mu, \sigma)\varepsilon(\mu, \sigma),$$

thus $m(\mu, \sigma) = \varepsilon(\mu, \sigma) = \pm 1$.

If μ is not necessarily irreducible, we shall say that μ is σ -Bose if $m(\mu, \sigma) = 1$ and that μ is σ -Fermi if $m(\mu, \sigma) = -1$. As we have seen, if μ is irreducible then μ is either σ -Bose or σ -Fermi. With S Rehren matrix (9) we have:

Proposition 15. *Let ρ, ν be irreducible, localized endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}_b$ and $\rho' \equiv \rho\sigma$. We have $S_{\rho', \nu} = \pm S_{\rho, \nu}$ according with ρ is σ -Bose or σ -Fermi.*

Proof. By definition

$$S_{\rho', \nu} = S_{\rho\sigma, \nu} = \frac{d(\rho')d(\nu)}{\sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}}} \Phi_\nu(\varepsilon(\rho\sigma, \nu)^*\varepsilon(\nu, \rho\sigma)^*)$$

and we have $d(\rho') = d(\rho)$. We may also assume that ρ, σ and ν are localised one left to the next. We have

$$m(\rho', \nu) = \varepsilon(\rho\sigma, \nu) = \rho(\varepsilon(\sigma, \nu))\varepsilon(\rho, \nu) = \pm\varepsilon(\rho, \nu) = \pm m(\rho, \nu)$$

as $\varepsilon(\sigma, \nu) = m(\sigma, \nu) = \pm 1$; thus $S_{\rho', \nu} = \pm S_{\rho, \nu}$ where the sign depends on the σ -Bose/ σ -Fermi alternative for ν . $\square$

Thus

$$D_{\rho, \nu} \equiv S_{\rho, \nu} - S_{\rho', \nu} = 2 \frac{d(\rho)}{\sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{A}_b}}} \cdot \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \nu \text{ is } \sigma\text{-Bose} \\ K(\rho, \nu)d(\nu) & \text{if } \nu \text{ is } \sigma\text{-Fermi} \end{cases}$$

2.6 Graded tensor product

We briefly recall the notion of graded tensor product of Fermi nets. With $\mathcal{A}$ a Fermi net on S^1 , denote by $\mathcal{A}_f(I)$ the Fermi (i.e. degree one) subspace of $\mathcal{A}(I)$. If $v \in \mathcal{A}_f(I)$ is a selfadjoint unitary then $\mathcal{A}(I) = \langle \mathcal{A}_b(I), v \rangle$ is the crossed product $\mathcal{A}_b(I) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ with respect to the action $\sigma = \text{Ad} v$ on $\mathcal{A}_b(I)$. If $W \in \mathcal{A}(I)'$ is a selfadjoint unitary, Wv also implements σ on $\mathcal{A}_b(I)$ and the von Neumann algebra $\langle \mathcal{A}_b(I), Wv \rangle$ is also isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_b(I) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, namely we have an isomorphism

$$a \rightarrow a, \quad f \rightarrow Wf, \quad \partial a = 0, \partial f = 1.$$

Let now, for $i = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{A}_i$ be a Fermi net on S^1 on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_i$, and let Γ_i be the associated grading unitary. Given an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$, define the von Neumann algebra on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$

$$\mathcal{A}_1(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_2(I) \equiv \{a_1 \otimes a_2, f_1 \otimes 1, \Gamma_1 \otimes f_2\}''$$

where $a_i, f_i \in \mathcal{A}_i(I)$, $\partial a_i = 0$ and $\partial f_i = 1$. Namely $\mathcal{A}_1(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_2(I)$ is the direct sum

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{A}_{1b}(I) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{2b}(I) + \Gamma_1 \mathcal{A}_{1f}(I) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{2f}(I)}_{\text{Bosons}} + \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_{1f}(I) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{2b}(I) + \Gamma_1 \mathcal{A}_{1b}(I) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{2f}(I)}_{\text{Fermions}}$$

Clearly the map $I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_2(I)$ is isotone and satisfies graded locality with respect to the grading induced by $\Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2$. Thus it defines a Fermi net $\mathcal{A}_1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_2$ on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$.

By the previous comments, with $\mathcal{A}(I) = 1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2(I)$ and $W = \Gamma_1 \otimes 1$, the von Neumann algebra $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(I)$ on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ generated by $1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_{2b}(I)$ and $\Gamma_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_{2f}(I)$ is isomorphic to $1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2(I)$ and hence to $\mathcal{A}_2(I)$. Actually, an easy calculation show that if $a, f \in \mathcal{A}_2(I)$, $\partial a = 0, \partial f = 1$, $Z \equiv \frac{1-i\Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2}{1-i}$ and $Z_2 \equiv \frac{1-i\Gamma_2}{1-i}$ then

$$(1 \otimes Z_2) Z^* (1 \otimes (a + f)) Z (1 \otimes Z_2)^* = 1 \otimes a + \Gamma_1 \otimes f.$$

Hence the unitary operator $(1 \otimes Z_2) Z^*$ on $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ implements the isomorphism of $1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2(I)$ onto $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(I)$ for every interval I .

Obviously $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1(I) \equiv \mathcal{A}_1(I) \otimes 1$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_1(I)$. Moreover we have

$$\mathcal{A}_1(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_2(I) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1(I) \vee \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(I), \quad [\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1(I), \hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(I)] = 0, \quad (3)$$

where the brackets denote the graded commutator corresponding.

One can check that, up to isomorphism, the graded tensor product $\mathcal{A}_1(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_2(I)$ is the unique von Neumann algebra generated by copies $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_1(I)$ of $\mathcal{A}_1(I)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_2(I)$ of $\mathcal{A}_2(I)$, having a grading that restricts to the grading of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_i(I)$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfying the relations (3), and such that that $\mathcal{A}_{1b}(I) \vee \mathcal{A}_{2b}(I)$ is the usual tensor product of von Neumann algebras.

We can then define the graded tensor product of DHR representations. If λ_1 and λ_2 are DHR representations of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ respectively and if λ_1 is graded, then it can be shown that there exists a (necessarily unique) representation $\lambda_1 \hat{\otimes} \lambda_2$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_2}$ such that, for every interval $I \subset S^1$,

$$(\lambda_1 \hat{\otimes} \lambda_2)_I(x_1 \otimes a_2 + \Gamma_1 x_1 \otimes f_2) = \lambda_{1I}(x_1) \otimes \lambda_{2I}(a_2) + \Gamma_{\lambda_1} \lambda_{1I}(x_1) \otimes \lambda_{2I}(f_2)$$

where $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1(I)$, $a_2, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2(I)$, $\partial a_2 = 0$ and $\partial f_2 = 1$. Analogously we can define the graded tensor product of general representations defined below, provided one of them is graded.

3 Nets on $\mathbb{R}$ and on a cover of S^1

Besides nets on S^1 , it will be natural to consider nets on $\mathbb{R}$ and nets on topological covers of S^1 . Indeed the two notions are related as we shall see.

3.1 Nets on $\mathbb{R}$

Denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the family of open intervals of $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. of open, non-empty, connected, bounded subsets of $\mathbb{R}$.

The Möbius group Möb can be naturally viewed as a subgroup of $\text{Diff}(S^1)$. We then have a corresponding inclusion $\text{Möb}^{(n)} \subset \text{Diff}^{(n)}(S^1)$ of covering groups. In the following we denote by G a group that can be either the Möbius group Möb or the diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}(S^1)$. Analogously, we have $G^{(n)} = \text{Möb}^{(n)}$ or $G^{(n)} = \text{Diff}^{(n)}(S^1)$. By identifying $\mathbb{R}$ with $S^1 \setminus \{-1\}$ via the stereographic map, we have a local action of G on $\mathbb{R}$ (where $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/\{1, -1\} \simeq \text{Möb}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}$ by linear fractional transformations). See [5, 28] for the definition and a discussion about local actions.

A G -covariant net on $\mathbb{R}$ (of von Neumann algebras) $\mathcal{A}$ is a isotone map

$$I \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}} \mapsto \mathcal{A}(I)$$

that associates to each $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}$ a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}(I)$ on a fixed Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and there exists a projective, positive energy, unitary representation U of $G^{(\infty)}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ with

$$U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^{-1} = \mathcal{A}(\dot{g}I), \quad g \in \mathcal{U}_I,$$

for every $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}$ where $\mathcal{U}_I$ is the connected component of the identity in $G^{(\infty)}$ of the open set $\{g \in G^{(\infty)} : gI \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$.

We will further assume the irreducibility of $\mathcal{A}$ and the existence of a vacuum vector Ω for U , although this is not always necessary.

Note that it would be enough to require the existence of the projective unitary representation U only in a neighbourhood of the identity of $G^{(\infty)}$, then U would extend to all $G^{(\infty)}$ by multiplicativity.

Since the cohomology of the Lie algebra of Möb is trivial, by multiplying $U(g)$ by a phase factor (in a unique fashion), we may remove the projectiveness of $U|_{\text{Möb}^{(\infty)}}$ and assume that the restriction of U to $\text{Möb}^{(\infty)}$ is a unitary representation of $\text{Möb}^{(\infty)}$.

3.2 Nets on a cover of S^1

The group $G^{(n)}$ has a natural action on $S^{1(n)}$, n finite or infinite, the one obtained by promoting the action of G on S^1 , see Sect. A.1. Here $S^{1(n)}$ denotes the n -cover of S^1 . Denote by $\mathcal{I}^{(n)}$ the family of intervals of $S^{1(n)}$, i.e. $I \in \mathcal{I}^{(n)}$ iff I is a connected subset of $S^{1(n)}$ that projects onto a (proper) interval of the base S^1 .

A G -covariant net $\mathcal{A}$ on $S^{1(n)}$ is a isotone map

$$I \in \mathcal{I}^{(n)} \mapsto \mathcal{A}(I)$$

that associates with each $I \in \mathcal{I}^{(n)}$ a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}(I)$ on a fixed Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and there exists a projective unitary, positive energy representation U of $G^{(\infty)}$ on $\mathcal{H}$, implementing a covariant action on $\mathcal{A}$, namely

$$U(g)\mathcal{A}(I)U(g)^{-1} = \mathcal{A}(\dot{g}I), \quad I \in \mathcal{I}^{(n)}, \quad g \in G^{(\infty)}$$

Here $\dot{g}$ denotes the image of g in $G^{(n)}$ under the quotient map.

As above, we may also assume irreducibility of the net and the existence of a vacuum vector Ω for U .

Of course a G -covariant net $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ on $S^{1(n)}$ determines a G -covariant net $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Indeed, with $p : S^{1(\infty)} \rightarrow S^1$ the covering map, every connected component of $p^{-1}(S^1 \setminus \{\text{point}\})$ is a copy of $\mathbb{R}$ in $S^{1(n)}$ and we may restrict $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ to any of this copy; by G -covariance we get always the same G -covariant net on $\mathbb{R}$, up to unitary equivalence.

Conversely, a G -covariant net $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ can be extended to a net $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ on $S^{1(\infty)}$ by defining, for any given $I \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(I) \equiv U(g)\mathcal{A}(I_1)U(g)^{-1} \quad (4)$$

where $I_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}$, $g \in G^{(\infty)}$, and $gI_1 = I$. Here the action of $G^{(\infty)}$ on $S^{1(\infty)}$ is the one obtained by promoting the action of $G^{(\infty)}$ on S^1 (see above). Therefore we have:

Proposition 16. *There is a 1-1 correspondence (up to unitary equivalence) between G -covariant nets on $\mathbb{R}$ and G -covariant nets on $S^{1(\infty)}$*

Proof. We only show that if $\mathcal{A}$ is a G -covariant net on $\mathbb{R}$, then formula (4) well defines $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(I)$. If $g' \in G^{(\infty)}$ also satisfies $g'I_1 = I$, then g and g' have “the same degree”, namely $h \equiv g^{-1}g'$ maps I_1 onto I_1 and is in $\mathcal{U}_{I_1}$. Then $U(h)\mathcal{A}(I_1)U(h)^{-1} = \mathcal{A}(I_1)$, so $U(g)\mathcal{A}(I_1)U(g)^{-1} = U(g')\mathcal{A}(I_1)U(g')^{-1}$. The rest is clear. $\square$

With $\mathcal{A}$ a be a G -covariant net on $\mathbb{R}$ as above, we shall say that a unitary operator V on $\mathcal{H}$ is a gauge unitary if

$$V\mathcal{A}(I)V^* = \mathcal{A}(I), \quad VU(g) = U(g)V, \quad (5)$$

for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and g in a suitable neighbourhood of the identity of Möb . Clearly the same relations (5) then hold true for all $I \in S^{1(\infty)}$, $g \in \text{Möb}^{(\infty)}$ for the corresponding net on $S^{1(\infty)}$. Now $\text{rot}_{2\pi}$ is a central element of $G^{(\infty)}$ and it is immediate to check that if $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$U(2\pi)^n \text{ is a gauge unitary} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \text{ extends to a } G\text{-covariant net on } S^{1(n)};$$

then $\mathcal{A}$ is covariant with respect to the corresponding action of $G^{(\infty)}$ on $S^{1(n)}$. Clearly the net on $S^{1(n)}$ is the projection of the net on $S^{1(\infty)}$ by the covering map from $S^{1(\infty)}$ to $S^{1(n)}$. In other words we have the following where $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

Corollary 17. *A G -covariant net $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is the restriction of a G -covariant net on $S^{1(n)}$ if and only if $U(2n\pi)$ is a gauge unitary for $\mathcal{A}$. This is the case, in particular, if the representation U of $G^{(\infty)}$ factors through a representation of $G^{(n)}$ (i.e. $U(2n\pi) = 1$).*

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a G -covariant net on $\mathbb{R}$ we shall denote by $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}$ its promotion to $S^{1(\infty)}$. If $U(2\pi)^n$ is a gauge unitary for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall denote by $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ the promotion of $\mathcal{A}$ to $S^{1(n)}$.

We now define the *promotion* of a net $\mathcal{A}$ on S^1 to a net $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ on $S^{1(n)}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a G -covariant net on S^1 , then its restriction $\mathcal{A}_0$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is a G -covariant net on $\mathbb{R}$, and we set

$$\mathcal{A}^{(n)} \equiv \mathcal{A}_0^{(n)} ;$$

here, if n is finite, we have to assume that $U(2\pi n)$ is a gauge unitary. We shall be mainly interested in the case of a G -covariant Fermi net $\mathcal{A}$ on S^1 . As $U(4\pi) = 1$ in this case, we have a natural net $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ on $S^{1(2)}$ associated with $\mathcal{A}$. Of course if $\mathcal{A}$ is local then $U(2\pi) = 1$ the net $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ on $S^{1(2)}$ is defined in this case.

Of course if $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ is the promotion to $S^{1(n)}$ of a net $\mathcal{A}$ on S^1 , then $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}(I) = \mathcal{A}(pI)$ for any interval I of $S^{1(n)}$.

4 Solitons and representations of cover nets

We now consider more general representations associated with a Fermi conformal net. The point is that a Fermi conformal net lives naturally in a double cover of S^1 rather than on S^1 itself because the 2π rotation unitary $U(2\pi)$ is not the identity ($U(2\pi) = \Gamma$, see Sect. 2.2) but $U(4\pi) = 1$. So representations as a net on $S^{1(2)}$ come naturally into play. These representations can be equivalently viewed as a natural class of solitons.

4.1 Representations of a net on $S^{1(n)}$

We begin by giving the notion of representation for a net on a cover of S^1 .

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -covariant net of von Neumann algebras on $S^{1(n)}$ and U the associated covariance unitary representation of $G^{(\infty)}$ (thus $U(2\pi n)$ is a gauge unitary). A *representation* of $\mathcal{A}$ is a map

$$I \in \mathcal{I}^{(n)} \mapsto \lambda_I$$

where λ_I is a normal representation of $\mathcal{A}(I)$ on a fixed Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ with the usual consistency condition $\lambda_{\tilde{I}}|_{\mathcal{A}(I)} = \lambda_I$ if $\tilde{I} \supset I$.

We shall say that λ is *G-covariant* if there exists a projective unitary, positive energy representation U_λ of $G^{(\infty)}$ on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ such that

$$U_\lambda(g)\lambda_I(x)U_\lambda(g)^* = \lambda_{\dot{g}I}(U(g)xU(g)^*), \quad g \in G^{(\infty)}, \quad I \in \mathcal{I}^{(n)}.$$

Here $\dot{g}$ denotes the image of $g \in G^{(\infty)}$ in $G^{(n)}$ under the quotient map, thus $\dot{g}I$ is the projection of $gI \in S^{1(\infty)}$ onto $S^{1(n)}$.

Let now $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -covariant net on S^1 . If λ is a representation of $\mathcal{A}$, then λ promotes to a representation $\lambda^{(n)}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ given by

$$\lambda_I^{(n)} \equiv \lambda_{p(I)}, \quad I \in \mathcal{I}^{(n)}.$$

If λ is G -covariant and U_λ is the associated unitary representation of $G^{(n)}$, then $\lambda^{(n)}$ is also G -covariant with the same unitary representation U_λ of $G^{(n)}$.

Lemma 18. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ a G -covariant Fermi (resp. local) net on S^1 and ν a G -covariant representation of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$, with U_ν the associated projective unitary representation of $G^{(n)}$.*

Then ν is the promotion $\lambda^{(n)}$ of a G -covariant representation λ of $\mathcal{A}$ iff $U_\nu(2\pi)$ implements the grading (resp. the identity) in the representation ν .

Proof. We assume $\mathcal{A}$ to be a Fermi net (the local case is simpler and follows by the same argument). If λ is a G -covariant representation of $\mathcal{A}$, then $U_\lambda(2\pi)$ implements the grading by the spin-statistics theorem [27] (applied to $\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_b}$). Conversely, if ν is a G covariant representation of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ and $U_\nu(2\pi)$ implements the grading, let λ_0 be the restriction of ν to a copy of $\mathbb{R}$ in $S^{1(n)}$. Then λ_0 extends by G -covariance to a representation of $\mathcal{A}$ (Prop. 16). $\square$

4.2 Solitons

Let $\mathcal{A}_0$ be a net of von Neumann algebras on $\mathbb{R}$ and denote by $\bar{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the family of intervals/half-lines of $\mathbb{R}$ (open connected subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ different from $\emptyset, \mathbb{R}$). If I is a half-line, we define $\mathcal{A}(I)$ as the von Neumann algebras generated by the von Neumann algebras associated to the intervals contained in I .

A *soliton* λ of $\mathcal{A}_0$ a map

$$I \in \bar{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathbb{R}} \mapsto \lambda_I$$

where λ_I is a normal representation of the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}_0(I)$ on a fixed Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ with the usual isotony property $\lambda_{\tilde{I}}|_{\mathcal{A}(I)} = \lambda_I$, $I \subset \tilde{I}$.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is a net on S^1 , by a soliton of $\mathcal{A}$ we shall mean a soliton of the restriction of $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a conformal net on S^1 . We set

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \equiv \text{restriction of } \mathcal{A} \text{ to } \mathbb{R}.$$

If λ is a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$ then, obviously, $\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ is a soliton of $\mathcal{A}_0$. We shall say that a soliton λ_0 of $\mathcal{A}$ is a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$ if it arises in this way, namely $\lambda_0 = \lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ with λ a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$ (in other words, $\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ extends to a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$, note that the extension is unique if strong additivity is assumed).

More generally, we get solitons of $\mathcal{A}$ by restricting to $\mathcal{A}_0$ representations of the cover nets $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -covariant net on S^1 with covariance unitary representation U . A *G-covariant soliton* λ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a soliton of $\mathcal{A}_0$ such that there exists a projective unitary representation U_λ of $G^{(\infty)}$ such that for every bounded interval I of $\mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lambda_{gI}(U(g)xU(g)^*) = U_\lambda(g)\lambda_I(x)U_\lambda(g)^*, \quad g \in \mathcal{U}_I, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}(I),$$

where $\mathcal{U}_I$ is a connected neighborhood of the identity of $G^{(\infty)}$ as in Sect. 3.1 and $\dot{g}$ is the image of g in G .

Proposition 19. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a G -covariant net on S^1 . There is a one-to-one correspondence between*

- (a) *G-covariant representations of $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}$,*
- (b) *G-covariant solitons of $\mathcal{A}$.*

The correspondence is given by restricting a representation of $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}$ to a copy of $\mathcal{A}_0$.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is local. Then the above restricts to a one-to-one correspondence between (a): G-covariant representations of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ and (b): G-covariant solitons of $\mathcal{A}$ with $U_\lambda(2\pi n)$ commuting with λ .

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is Fermi. Then the above restricts to a one-to-one correspondence between (a): G-covariant representations of $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$ and (b): G-covariant solitons of $\mathcal{A}$ with $U_\lambda(2\pi n)$ implementing the grading (n even) or commuting with λ (n odd).

Proof. Clearly if λ is a G -covariant representation of $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}$ then $\lambda_0 \equiv \lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_0}$ is a G -covariant soliton of $\mathcal{A}$. Conversely, if λ_0 is G -covariant soliton of $\mathcal{A}$ then we set

$$\lambda_{gI}(U(g)xU(g)^*) = U_\lambda(g)\lambda_I(x)U_\lambda(g)^*, \quad g \in G^{(\infty)}, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}(I),$$

where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded interval for any given copy of $\mathbb{R}$ is in $S^{1(\infty)}$ and $G^{(\infty)}$ acts on $S^{1(\infty)}$ as usual. By G -covariance the above formula well-define a representation of $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}$.

The second part follows because by the vacuum spin-statistics relation we have $U(2\pi) = 1$ in the local case and $U(2\pi) = \Gamma$ in the Fermi case. $\square$

A *graded soliton* of the Fermi net $\mathcal{A}$ is, of course, a soliton such that the grading is unitarily implemented, namely the soliton λ is graded iff there exists a unitary Γ_λ on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ such that

$$\lambda_I(\gamma(x)) = \Gamma_\lambda \lambda(x) \Gamma_\lambda^*, \quad I \in \mathcal{I}_\mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}(I).$$

4.3 Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond representations

We give now the general definition for a representation of a Fermi net. We have two formulations for this notion: as a representation of the cover net and as a soliton.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fermi net on S^1 . A *general representation* λ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a representation the cover net of $\mathcal{A}^{(\infty)}$ such that λ restricts to a DHR representation λ_b of the Bose subnet $\mathcal{A}_b$.

We shall see here later on that a general representation is indeed a representation of $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$. We begin by noticing an automatic covariance for a general representation λ . In this case λ is not always graded.

Proposition 20. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fermi conformal net on S^1 . Every general representation λ of $\mathcal{A}$ is diffeomorphism covariant with positive energy. Indeed $U_\lambda = U_{\lambda_b}$.*

Proof. The proof follows by an immediate extension of the argument proving Prop. 12. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fermi net on S^1 . A *general soliton* λ of $\mathcal{A}$ is a diffeomorphism covariant soliton of $\mathcal{A}$ such that λ restricts to a DHR representation λ_b of the Bose subnet $\mathcal{A}_b$. (In other words $\lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_{b,0}}$ extends to a DHR representation λ_b of $\mathcal{A}_b$.)

By Prop. 19 we have a one-to-one correspondence between

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{General Representations} \\ \updownarrow \\ \text{General Solitons} \end{array}$$

We prove now the diffeomorphism covariance of general solitons in the strong additive case. Notice that strong additivity is automatic in the completely rational case [47]. The general proof follows by Prop. 24 below.

Proposition 21. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fermi conformal net on S^1 . Every soliton λ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that λ_b is a DHR representation is diffeomorphism covariant with positive energy, namely λ is a general soliton. Indeed $U_\lambda = U_{\lambda_b}$.*

Proof. (Assuming strongly additive). Note that if $g \in \text{Diff}(S^1)$ is localized in an interval I then, up to a phase, $U_{\lambda_b}(g) = \lambda_b(U(g)) \in \mathcal{A}_b(I)$.

Let I be an interval contained in $\mathbb{R} = S^1 \setminus \{-1\}$ and suppose that $g \in \text{Diff}(S^1)$ is localised in I ; then

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\lambda_b}(g)\lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)U_{\lambda_b}^*(g) &= \lambda_{b\tilde{I}}(U(g))\lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)\lambda_{b\tilde{I}}(U^*(g)) = \lambda_{\tilde{I}}(U(g))\lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)\lambda_{\tilde{I}}(U^*(g)) \\ &= \lambda_{\tilde{I}}(U(g)xU(g)^*) = \lambda_{g\tilde{I}}(U(g)xU(g)^*) , \quad x \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{I}) , \end{aligned}$$

for any interval $\tilde{I} \supset I$ of $\mathbb{R}$.

Notice at this point that if $x \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{I})$ and $v \in \mathcal{A}_b(\tilde{I}')$ then $\lambda_{b\tilde{I}'}(v)$ commutes with $\lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)$:

$$[\lambda_{b\tilde{I}'}(v), \lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)] = 0 .$$

Indeed, as $\mathcal{A}$ is assumed to be strongly additive, also $\mathcal{A}_b$ is strongly additive [58], see also [48], so it is sufficient to show the above relation with $v \in \mathcal{A}_b(I_0)$, where I_0 is any interval with closure contained in $\tilde{I}' \setminus \{-1\}$. Then

$$[\lambda_{b\tilde{I}'}(v), \lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)] = [\lambda_{bI_0}(v), \lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)] = [\lambda_{I_0}(v), \lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)] = [\lambda_{I_1}(v), \lambda_{I_1}(x)] = \lambda_{I_1}([v, x]) = 0 ,$$

where we have taken an interval I_1 of $\mathbb{R}$ containing $I_0 \cup \tilde{I}$.

Let now $\mathcal{U}_I$ be a connected neighbourhood of the identity in $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ such that gI is an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ for all $g \in \mathcal{U}_I$. Take $g \in \mathcal{U}_I$ and let $\tilde{I}$ be an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ with $\tilde{I} \supset I \cup gI$. Let $h \in \text{Diff}(S^1)$ be a diffeomorphism localised in an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ containing $\tilde{I}$ such that $h|_{\tilde{I}} = g|_{\tilde{I}}$. Then

$$U(g) = U(h)v$$

where $v \equiv U(h^{-1}g) \in \mathcal{A}_b(\tilde{I}')$ (the Virasoro subnet is contained in the Bose subnet).

We then have with $x \in \mathcal{A}(\tilde{I})$:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\lambda_b}(g)\lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)U_{\lambda_b}^*(g) &= U_{\lambda_b}(h)\lambda_{b\tilde{I}'}(v)\lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)\lambda_{b\tilde{I}'}(v^*)U_{\lambda_b}^*(h) \\ &= U_{\lambda_b}(h)\lambda_{\tilde{I}}(x)U_{\lambda_b}^*(h) = \lambda_{h\tilde{I}}(U(h)xU(h)^*) = \lambda_{g\tilde{I}}(U(g)xU(g)^*) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 22. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fermi conformal net on S^1 and λ an irreducible general soliton of $\mathcal{A}$. With $\lambda_{b,0} \equiv \lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_{b,0}}$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) λ is graded,
- (ii) $\lambda_{b,0}$ is not irreducible,
- (iii) $\lambda_{b,0}$ is direct sum of two inequivalent irreducible representations of $\mathcal{A}_{b,0}$:

$$\lambda_{b,0} \simeq \rho \oplus \rho'$$

where ρ is an irreducible DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}_{b,0}$ and $\rho' \equiv \rho\sigma$ with σ is the dual involutive localised automorphism of $\mathcal{A}_b$ as above.

If the above holds and λ (i.e. ρ) has finite index we then have equation for the statistics phases

$$\omega_{\rho'} = -m(\rho, \sigma)\omega_{\rho} \quad (6)$$

Thus

$$U_{\rho'}(2\pi) = \mp U_{\rho}(2\pi) \quad (7)$$

according to ρ is σ -Bose/ σ -Fermi.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): If λ is graded, then the unitary Γ_{λ} implementing the grading in the representation commutes with $\lambda(\mathcal{A}_{b,0})$, so $\lambda_{b,0}$ is reducible. Moreover, as $\lambda(\mathcal{A}_{b,0})'' = \lambda(\mathcal{A}_0)'' \cap \{\Gamma_{\lambda}\}'$, we have $\lambda(\mathcal{A}_{b,0})' = \lambda(\mathcal{A}_0)' \vee \{\Gamma_{\lambda}\}'' = \{\Gamma_{\lambda}\}''$, so $\lambda(\mathcal{A}_{b,0})'$ is 2-dimensional. Let ρ one of the two irreducible components of $\lambda_{b,0}$. As the dual canonical endomorphism associated with $\mathcal{A}_{b,0} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$ is $\iota \oplus \sigma$ (see [45]), the other component must be $\rho' \equiv \rho\sigma$, namely $\lambda_{b,0} = \rho \oplus \rho'$.

In order to show eq. (7) we may assume that ρ is localized left to σ , so ρ and σ commute. By using the cocycle equations for the statistics operators, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\rho', \rho') &= \varepsilon(\rho, \rho')\rho(\varepsilon(\sigma, \rho')) = \varepsilon(\rho, \rho')\varepsilon(\sigma, \rho') = \rho(\varepsilon(\rho, \sigma))\varepsilon(\rho, \rho)\rho(\varepsilon(\sigma, \sigma))\varepsilon(\sigma, \rho) \\ &= \varepsilon(\rho, \sigma)\varepsilon(\rho, \rho)\varepsilon(\sigma, \sigma)\varepsilon(\sigma, \rho) = -m(\rho, \sigma)\varepsilon(\rho, \rho), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\varepsilon(\sigma, \rho')$ and $\varepsilon(\rho, \sigma)$ are scalars and $\varepsilon(\sigma, \sigma) = -1$. So, if ρ has finite index, we infer the equation (6) for the statistics phases and eq. (7) follows by the conformal spin-statistics theorem [27].

The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. For the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) assume that λ is not graded, namely γ is not unitarily implemented in the representation λ ; then λ is irreducible by known arguments, see [51] (the fixed point of an irreducible C^* -algebra with respect to a period two outer automorphism is still irreducible). $\square$

Remark. In the above proposition the diffeomorphism covariance of λ is unnecessary, only the Möbius covariance of λ_b has been used.

Corollary 23. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fermi conformal net on S^1 and λ an irreducible general soliton of $\mathcal{A}$ with finite index. The following alternative holds:*

- (a) λ is a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$. Equivalently $U_{\lambda_b}(2\pi)$ is not a scalar.
- (b) λ is the restriction of a representation of $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ and λ is not a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$. Equivalently $U_{\lambda_b}(2\pi)$ is a scalar.

Proof. First note that $U_{\lambda_b}(4\pi) = U_{\rho}(4\pi) \oplus U_{\rho'}(4\pi)$ is a scalar by eq. (7), so λ is always representation of $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ by Prop. 19.

If λ is a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$ then by, Prop. 12, $U_{\lambda}(2\pi) = \Gamma$ is not a scalar.

Assume now that λ is not a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$. It remains to show that if $U_{\lambda}(2\pi)$ is a scalar.

If λ is not graded, then by Prop. 22 λ_b is irreducible; as $U_{\lambda}(2\pi)$ commutes with λ_b , must then be a scalar.

Finally, if λ is graded, then ρ must be a σ -Fermi sector, as otherwise its α -induction α_{ρ}^{+} to $\mathcal{A}$ would be a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$, while $\alpha_{\rho}^{+} = \lambda$ by Frobenius reciprocity. Then by eq. (7) we have $U_{\rho'}(2\pi) = U_{\rho}(2\pi)$, namely $U_{\lambda}(2\pi)$ is a scalar. $\square$

Remark. The finite index assumption in the above proposition is probably unnecessary (it has been used to make use of eq. (7)).

It is now convenient to use the following terminology concerning the general soliton in Corollary 23. In the first case, namely if λ is a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$, we say that λ is a *Neveu-Schwarz representation* of $\mathcal{A}$. In the second case, namely if λ is a soliton (and not a representation of $\mathcal{A}$) we say that λ is a *Ramond representation* of $\mathcal{A}$.

If λ is reducible we shall say that λ is a Neveu-Schwarz representation of $\mathcal{A}$ if λ is a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$; we shall say that λ is a Ramond representation of $\mathcal{A}$ if no subrepresentation of λ is a DHR representation of $\mathcal{A}$.

Let as above $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fermi conformal net on S^1 and $\mathcal{A}_b$ the Bose subnet. We shall now study the representations of $\mathcal{A}_b$ in relation to the representations of $\mathcal{A}$.

Given a representation ν of $\mathcal{A}_b$ we consider its α -induction α_ν to $\mathcal{A}$ (say right α -induction, so $\alpha_\nu \equiv \alpha_\nu^+$). More precisely we first restrict ν to the net $\mathcal{A}_{b,0}$ on the real line and then consider its α -induction α_ν which is a soliton of $\mathcal{A}_0$. Note that α_ν is defined in [45] assuming Haag duality on the real line (equivalent to strong additivity in the conformal case), but this assumption is unnecessary here because we are considering nets on S^1 that satisfy Haag duality on S^1 , see [47, Sect. 3.1].

Proposition 24. *If ν is a DHR irreducible representation of $\mathcal{A}_b$ then α_ν is a general soliton of $\mathcal{A}$, namely α_ν is diffeomorphism covariant with positive energy. We have:*

$$\begin{aligned}\nu \text{ is } \sigma\text{-Bose} &\Leftrightarrow \alpha_\nu \text{ is Neveu-Schwarz} \\ \nu \text{ is } \sigma\text{-Fermi} &\Leftrightarrow \alpha_\nu \text{ is Ramond}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only sketch the proof. We use the extension method by Roberts (see [51]), but in a covariant way. As ν is diffeomorphism covariant, there is a localized unitary cocycle w with value in $\mathcal{A}_b$ such that

$$\text{Ad}w_g \cdot \nu = \text{Ad}U(g) \cdot \nu \cdot \text{Ad}U(g^{-1}), \quad g \in \text{Diff}(S^1), \quad (8)$$

see [26, Sect. 8]. The cocycle w reconstructs ν . Now w can be seen as a cocycle with values in $\mathcal{A}$ but with less localization properties (if w is bi-localized in $I \cup gI$ in $\mathcal{A}_b$, it is in general only localized in $\tilde{I}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ where $\tilde{I}$ is an interval containing $I \cup gI$). Thus, in general, w can be associated with a soliton of $\mathcal{A}$, which is α_ν . Now the covariance equation still remains true for g in a neighborhood of the identity, and gives the diffeomorphism covariance of α_ν .

If ν a DHR irreducible representation of $\mathcal{A}_b$, its α -induction to $\mathcal{A}$ is a DHR representation iff ν has trivial monodromy with the dual canonical endomorphism $\iota \oplus \sigma$ of $\mathcal{A}_b$ (the restriction to $\mathcal{A}_b$ of the identity representation of $\mathcal{A}$), thus iff ν has trivial monodromy with σ . By definition this means that ν is a σ -Bose representation. $\square$

An immediate corollary is the following.

Corollary 25. *A DHR representation ν of $\mathcal{A}_b$ is σ -Bose (resp. σ -Fermi) if and only if ν is the restriction of a Neveu-Schwarz (resp. Ramond) representation of $\mathcal{A}$.*

Because of the above corollary, we shall also call Neveu-Schwarz (resp. Ramond) representation of $\mathcal{A}_b$ a σ -Bose (resp. σ -Fermi) representation of $\mathcal{A}_b$.

4.4 Tensor categorical structure. Jones index

In the local case, the DHR argument shows that every representation is equivalent to a localized endomorphism and a first basic consequence of this fact is that representations give rise to a tensor category because one can indeed compose localized endomorphisms and get the monoidal structure. As we have seen in Prop. 14, we can extend the DHR argument to the case of representations of a Fermi net; this argument however makes use that DHR representations are automatically graded. In order to have a tensor structure for general representations of a Fermi net, we consider graded representations only. Note however that if λ is any soliton, setting where $\lambda_\gamma \equiv \gamma\lambda\gamma^{-1}$, then $\lambda \oplus \lambda_\gamma$ is graded. We obviously have

$$\text{Sol}_\gamma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{Sol}(\mathcal{A}) .$$

where $\text{Sol}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the general solitons of $\mathcal{A}$ that are localized, say, in a right half line of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\text{Sol}_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$ are the general solitons commuting with the grading, namely $\lambda \in \text{Sol}(\mathcal{A})$ belongs to $\text{Sol}_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$ iff $\lambda = \lambda_\gamma$.

Now every general representation of $\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to an element of $\text{Sol}(\mathcal{A})$. Thus, if $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \text{Sol}(\mathcal{A})$ an intertwiner $T : \lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2$ is a bounded linear operator such that

$$T\lambda_1(x) = \lambda_2(x)T, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}_0 .$$

Note that T is not necessarily localized in a half-line, but by twisted duality $T \in Z\mathcal{A}(I)Z^*$ where I is a half-line where λ_1 and λ_2 are both localized. By further assuming that T commutes with Γ , we also have that T commutes with Z , so $T \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ and $\partial T = 0$, thus $T \in \mathcal{A}_b(I)$.

It follows that $\text{Sol}_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$ is a tensor category where the arrows are defined by

$$\text{Hom}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \equiv T : \lambda_1 \rightarrow \lambda_2, \quad T\Gamma = \Gamma T .$$

Proposition 26. *α -induction is a surjective tensor functor*

$$\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_b) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Sol}_\gamma(\mathcal{A}) .$$

where $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_b)$ is the tensor category of endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}_b$ localized in intervals of $\mathbb{R}$. The kernel of α is $\{\iota, \sigma\}$.

Proof. First of all note that if $\nu \in \text{Rep}(\mathcal{A}_b)$ is localized in the interval I , then α_ν commutes with γ . Indeed both α_ν and $\alpha_\nu^\gamma = \gamma\alpha_\nu\gamma$ have the same restriction to $\mathcal{A}_b$. If $v \in \mathcal{A}(I)$ is a selfadjoint unitary with $\partial v = 1$, then $\alpha_\nu(v) = uvu^*$ for a Bose unitary u in the covariance cocycle for ν , therefore

$$\alpha_\nu^\gamma(v) = \gamma(\alpha_\nu(\gamma(v))) = -\gamma(\alpha_\nu(v)) = -\gamma(uvu) = -\gamma(u)\gamma(v)\gamma(u) = uvu = \alpha_\nu(v) ,$$

so $\alpha = \alpha_\nu^\gamma$ because $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by $\mathcal{A}_b$ and v .

Conversely, if $\lambda \in \text{Sol}_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$, then λ_b is a localized endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_b$ because λ commutes with γ . Now the covariance cocycle w_g for λ has degree 0; indeed $\gamma(w_g)$ is also a covariance cocycle for λ thus $\gamma(w_g) = w_g$ up to a phase that must be 1 by the cocycle property. Thus w belongs to the Bose subnet and is the covariance cocycle for λ_b ; a calculation as above then shows that $\lambda = \alpha_{\lambda_b}$.

It is now rather immediate to show that α_ν is the identity iff $\nu = \iota$ or $\nu = \sigma$ and that we have a corresponding surjective map of the arrows. $\square$

So we have a braided tensor category $\text{Sol}_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$. In particular, the *Jones index* of λ is defined, as in the local case, see [41, 42].

Note however that an irreducible element of $\text{Sol}_\gamma(\mathcal{A})$ may be reducible as a general representation of $\mathcal{A}$, namely it can be decomposed into non-graded subrepresentations.

5 Modular and superconformal invariance

We now study Fermi nets whose Bose subnets are modular and get some consequences in the supersymmetric case.

5.1 Modular nets

We recall here a discussion made in [37]. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a completely rational local conformal net of von Neumann algebras on S^1 . Then the tensor category or representations of $\mathcal{B}$ is modular, i.e. rational with non-degenerate unitary braiding [38].

We then have Rehren [50] matrices defining a unitary representation of the group $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the space spanned by the irreducible sectors (i.e. unitary equivalence classes of representations) ρ 's, in particular we have the matrices $T \equiv \{T_{\lambda, \nu}\}$ and $S \equiv \{S_{\lambda, \nu}\}$ where

$$S_{\lambda, \nu} \equiv \frac{d(\lambda)d(\nu)}{\sqrt{\sum_i d(\rho_i)^2}} \Phi_\nu(\varepsilon(\nu, \lambda)^* \varepsilon(\lambda, \nu)^*) . \quad (9)$$

Here $\varepsilon(\nu, \lambda)$ is the right statistics operators between λ and ν , Φ_ν is left inverse of ν and $d(\lambda)$ is the statistical dimension of λ .

Assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is diffeomorphism covariant with central charge c . For a sector ρ , we consider the specialised character $\chi_\rho(\tau)$ for complex numbers τ with $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ as follows:

$$\chi_\rho(\tau) = \text{Tr}(e^{2\pi i \tau (L_{0, \rho} - c/24)}).$$

Here the operator $L_{0, \rho}$ is the conformal Hamiltonian in the representation ρ . We also assume that the above trace converges, and so each eigenspace of $L_{0, \rho}$ is finite dimensional.

In many cases the T and S matrices give an action of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the linear span of these specialised characters through change of variables τ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\rho(-1/\tau) &= \sum_\nu S_{\rho, \nu} \chi_\nu(\tau), \\ \chi_\rho(\tau + 1) &= \sum_\nu T_{\rho, \nu} \chi_\nu(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

This is always the case if the Rehren matrices S and T coincide with the so called Kac-Peterson or Verlinde matrices. The Kac-Peterson-Verlinde matrix T and Rehren matrix T always coincide up to a phase by the spin-statistics theorem [27].

We shall say that $\mathcal{B}$ is *modular* if the Rehren matrices give the modular transformations of specialized character as in Eq. (10). Note that we are assuming that $\mathcal{B}$ is completely rational, namely the μ -index is finite (see below) and the split property holds. However, as we are assuming $\text{Tr}(e^{-tL_0}) < \infty$ the split property follows, see [6]. (Strong additivity follows from [47].)

Modularity holds in all computed rational cases, cf. [61, 62]. The $SU(N)_k$ nets and the Virasoro nets Vir_c with $c < 1$ are both modular. We expect all local conformal completely rational nets to be modular (see [31] for results of similar kind). Furthermore, if $\mathcal{B}$ is a modular local conformal net and $\mathcal{C}$ an irreducible extension of $\mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{C}$ is also modular [37], that allows to check the modularity property in several cases.

If $\mathcal{B}$ is modular, the Kac-Wakimoto formula holds

$$d(\rho) = \frac{S_{\rho,0}}{S_{0,0}} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow i\infty} \frac{\sum_{\nu} S_{\rho,\nu} \chi_{\nu}(\tau)}{\sum_{\nu} S_{0,\nu} \chi_{\nu}(\tau)} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\chi_{\rho}(\tau)}{\chi_0(\tau)}. \quad (11)$$

Here we denote the vacuum sector ι also by 0.

Now, if $\mathcal{B}$ is a modular net, then $\mathcal{B}$ is two-dimensional log-elliptic with noncommutative area $a_0 = 2\pi c/24$ [37], indeed the following asymptotic formula holds:

$$\log \text{Tr}(e^{-2\pi t L_{0,\rho}}) \sim \frac{\pi c}{12} \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{d(\rho)^2}{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}} - \frac{\pi c}{12} t \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

where ρ a representation of $\mathcal{B}$ and $L_{0,\rho}$ is the conformal Hamiltonian in the representation ρ .

5.2 Fermi nets and modularity

Assume that λ is a graded irreducible general soliton of the Fermi conformal net $\mathcal{A}$ on S^1 . Then λ is the restriction of a representation of the double cover $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and is diffeomorphism covariant. We denote by H_{λ} the conformal Hamiltonian of $\mathcal{A}$ in the representation λ .

Then H_{λ} is affiliated to the Virasoro subnet in the representation λ , which is contained in the Bosonic subnet, so H_{λ} commutes with Γ_{λ} and thus respects the graded decomposition $\mathcal{H}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,+} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\lambda,-}$ given by Γ_{λ} ; we then have a unitary equivalence

$$H_{\lambda} \simeq L_{0,\rho} \oplus L_{0,\rho'}$$

where $L_{0,\rho}$ and $L_{0,\rho'}$ are the conformal Hamiltonians of $\mathcal{A}_b$ in the representations ρ and ρ' , where $\lambda_b = \rho \oplus \rho'$. Consequently

$$\text{Tr}_s(e^{-tH_{\lambda}}) = \text{Tr}(e^{-tL_{0,\rho}}) - \text{Tr}(e^{-tL_{0,\rho'}}) \quad (12)$$

We also set

$$\tilde{H}_{\lambda} \equiv H_{\lambda} - c/24, \quad \tilde{L}_{0,\rho} \equiv L_{0,\rho} - c/24 \dots$$

If $\mathcal{A}_b$ is modular we then have by formula

$$\text{Tr}_s(e^{-2\pi t \tilde{H}_{\lambda}}) = \sum_{\nu} S_{\rho,\nu} \text{Tr}(e^{-2\pi \tilde{L}_{\rho,\nu}/t}) - \sum_{\nu} S_{\rho',\nu} \text{Tr}(e^{-2\pi \tilde{L}_{\rho',\nu}/t}) \quad (13)$$

$$= \sum_{\nu} (S_{\rho,\nu} - S_{\rho',\nu}) \text{Tr}(e^{-2\pi \tilde{L}_{0,\nu}/t}) \quad (14)$$

$$= \sum_{\nu} D_{\rho,\nu} \text{Tr}(e^{-2\pi \tilde{L}_{0,\nu}/t}) \quad (15)$$

where $D_{\rho,\nu} \equiv S_{\rho,\nu} - S_{\rho',\nu}$ as before and, by Prop. 15, $D_{\rho,\nu} = 2S_{\rho,\nu}$ if ν is σ -Fermi, and zero otherwise.

5.3 Fredholm and Jones index within superconformal invariance

We shall say that a general representation λ of the Fermi local conformal net $\mathcal{A}$ is *supersymmetric*⁴ if λ is graded and the conformal Hamiltonian H_λ satisfies

$$\tilde{H}_\lambda \equiv H_\lambda - c/24 = Q_\lambda^2$$

where Q_λ is a selfadjoint on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ which is odd w.r.t. the grading unitary Γ_λ .

Let then λ be supersymmetric. An immediate important consequence is a positive lower bound for the energy:

$$H_\lambda \geq c/24 .$$

Note that by McKean-Singer lemma $\text{Tr}_s(e^{-t(H_\lambda - c/24)})$ is constant thus, taking the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\text{Tr}_s(e^{-t(H_\lambda - c/24)}) = \dim \ker(H_\lambda - c/24) , \quad (16)$$

the multiplicity of the lowest eigenvalue $c/24$ of H_λ .

Let ρ be one of the two irreducible components of λ_b as above. We denote by $\mathfrak{R}$ the set of σ -Fermi irreducible sectors of $\mathcal{A}_b$, that correspond to the Ramond sectors of $\mathcal{A}$, see Prop. 24. Note that $\mathfrak{R} \neq \emptyset$ as otherwise σ would be a degenerate sector, which is not possible because the braided tensor category of DHR sectors in modular is a consequence of the complete rationality assumption.

Assume now that $\mathcal{A}_b$ is modular. We notice that formula (13) can be written as

$$\text{Tr}_s(e^{-2\pi t \tilde{H}_\lambda}) = 2 \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{R}} S_{\rho, \nu} \text{Tr}(e^{-2\pi \tilde{L}_{0, \nu}/t}) . \quad (17)$$

Corollary 27. *We have*

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{R}} S_{\rho, \nu} d(\nu) = 0$$

Proof. Divide by $\text{Tr}(e^{-2\pi t L_0})$ both members of (17); the statement then follows by the Kac-Wakimoto formula (11). $\square$

Sublemma 28. *Let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ be selfadjoint operators such that $\text{Tr}(e^{-sA_k}) < \infty$ and $\sum_k c_k \text{Tr}(e^{-sA_k})$ is a constant function of $s > 0$, for some scalars c_k . Then*

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_k c_k \text{Tr}(e^{-sA_k}) = \sum_k c_k \dim \ker A_k$$

Proof. Clearly $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Tr}(e^{-sA}) = \dim \ker A$ if A is trace class and non-negative. The finite trace condition implies that A_k bounded below. Let $A_k = A_k^+ + A_k^-$ with $A_k^+ \geq 0$ and $A_k^- < 0$. Then the function $\sum_k c_k \text{Tr}(e^{-sA_k})$ is a linear combination of exponentials of the form e^{as} with $a > 0$, and vanishes at infinity, thus it must be identically zero. It follows that

$$\sum_k c_k \text{Tr}(e^{-sA_k}) = \sum_k c_k \text{Tr}(e^{-sA_k^+}) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k c_k \dim \ker A_k^+ = \sum_k c_k \dim \ker A_k$$

$\square$

⁴A characteristic feature of supersymmetry is also that the graded derivation implemented by Q_λ is densely defined in a appropriate sense. We shall not need this property in this paper.

Lemma 29. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fermi modular net. If λ is supersymmetric then*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s(e^{-2\pi t \tilde{H}_\lambda}) = 2 \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{R}} S_{\rho, \nu} \mathrm{null}(\nu, c/24) \quad (18)$$

where $\mathrm{null}(\nu, h) \equiv \dim \ker(L_{0, \nu} - h)$.

Proof. The left hand side of (17) is constant by McKean-Singer lemma, so we have that $\sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{R}} S_{\rho, \nu} \mathrm{Tr}(e^{-2\pi s \tilde{L}_{0, \nu}})$ is a constant function of $s > 0$. Thus (18) holds by the sublemma. $\square$

With λ as in the above lemma, by eq. (16) we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s(e^{-2\pi t \tilde{H}_\lambda}) = \mathrm{ind}(Q_{\lambda+}) .$$

Therefore by Lemma 29 we have

$$\mathrm{ind}(Q_{\lambda+}) = 2 \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{R}} S_{\rho, \nu} \mathrm{null}(\nu, c/24)$$

then, writing Rehren definition of the S matrix, we have

$$\mathrm{ind}(Q_{\lambda+}) = 2 \frac{d(\rho)}{\sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{A}_b}}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{R}} K(\rho, \nu) d(\nu) \mathrm{null}(\nu, c/24)$$

where $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_b}$ is the μ -index of $\mathcal{A}_b$. By [38] we have $\mu_{\mathcal{A}_b} = 4\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ therefore:

Theorem 30. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Fermi conformal net as above and λ a supersymmetric irreducible representation of $\mathcal{A}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{ind}(Q_{\lambda+}) = \frac{d(\rho)}{\sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{R}} K(\rho, \nu) d(\nu) \mathrm{null}(\nu, c/24)$$

where ρ is one of the two irreducible components of λ_b and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the μ -index of $\mathcal{A}$.

In the above formula the Fredholm index of the supercharge operator $Q_{\lambda+}$ is expressed by a formula involving the Jones index of the Ramond representations whose lowest eigenvalue $c/24$ modulo integers.

Corollary 31. *If $\mathrm{ind}(Q_\lambda) \neq 0$ there exists a σ -Fermi sector ν such that $c/24$ is an eigenvalue of $L_{0, \nu}$.*

Corollary 32. *Suppose that, in Th. 30, ρ is the only Ramond sector with lowest eigenvalue $c/24$ modulo integers. Then*

$$S_{\rho, \rho} = \frac{d(\rho)^2}{\sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{A}_b}}} K(\rho, \rho) = \frac{1}{2} .$$

Proof. By Lemma 29 we have:

$$\mathrm{ind}(Q_{\lambda+}) = 2S_{\rho, \rho} \mathrm{null}(\rho, c/24) .$$

On the other hand by formula (16)

$$\mathrm{ind}(Q_{\lambda+}) = \dim \ker(H_\lambda - c/24) = \mathrm{null}(\rho, c/24) + \mathrm{null}(\rho', c/24) = \mathrm{null}(\rho, c/24)$$

because $H_\lambda = L_{0, \rho} \oplus L_{0, \rho'}$ and $c/24$ is not in the spectrum of $L_{0, \rho'}$, so we get our formula. $\square$

6 Super-Virasoro algebra and super-Virasoro nets

We now focus on model analysis and shall consider the most basic superconformal nets, namely the ones associated with the super-Virasoro algebra.

6.1 Super-Virasoro algebra

The super-Virasoro algebra governs the superconformal invariance [20, 24]. It plays in the supersymmetric context the same rôle that the Virasoro algebra plays in the local conformal case.

Strictly speaking, there are two super-Virasoro algebras. They are the super-Lie algebras generated by even elements L_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, odd elements G_r , and a central even element c , satisfying the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, L_n] &= (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0} \\ [L_m, G_r] &= \left(\frac{m}{2} - r\right)G_{m+r} \\ [G_r, G_s] &= 2L_{r+s} + \frac{c}{3}\left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)\delta_{r+s,0} \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Here the brackets denote the super-commutator. In the *Neveu-Schwarz* case $r \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2$, while in the *Ramond* case $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. We shall sometime use the term *super-Virasoro algebra* to indicate either the Neveu-Schwarz algebra or the Ramond algebra.

The point is that, although the Neveu-Schwarz algebra and the Ramond algebra are not isomorphic graded Lie algebras, they are representations of a same object, in a sense that we shall later see (they have the same “isomorphic completion”).

By definition, the Neveu-Schwarz algebra and the Ramond algebra are both extensions of the Virasoro algebra.

The super-Virasoro algebra is equipped with the involution $L_n^* = L_{-n}$, $G_r^* = G_{-r}$, $c^* = c$ and we will be only interested in unitary representations on a Hilbert space, i.e. representations preserving the involution. Note that unitary representations have automatically positive energy, namely $L_0 \geq 0$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= \frac{1}{2}[G_{\frac{1}{2}}, G_{-\frac{1}{2}}] = \frac{1}{2}\left(G_{\frac{1}{2}}G_{\frac{1}{2}}^* + G_{\frac{1}{2}}^*G_{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \geq 0 \quad (\text{NS case}) \\ L_0 &= \frac{1}{2}[G_0, G_0] = G_0^2 + c/24 \geq c/24 \quad (\text{R case}) \end{aligned}$$

The unitary, lowest weight representations of the super-Virasoro algebra, namely the unitary representations of the super-Virasoro algebra on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with a cyclic vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$L_0\xi = h\xi, \quad L_n\xi = 0, \quad n > 0, \quad G_r\xi = 0, \quad r > 0,$$

are studied in [20, 24]; in the NS case they are irreducible and uniquely determined by the values of c and h . In the Ramond case one has to further specify the action of G_0 on the lowest energy subspace. It turns out that for a possible value of c and h there two inequivalent irreducible lowest weight representations (but for the case $c = h/24$ when the representation is unique and graded). Not also that for $c \neq h/24$ the direct sum of the two inequivalent irreducible representations has a cyclic lowest energy vector and it is the unique Ramond (c, h) lowest weight representation where grading is implemented.

The possible values are either $c \geq 3/2$, $h \geq 0$ or

$$c = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{m(m+2)} \right), \quad m = 2, 3, \dots \quad (20)$$

and

$$h = h_{p,q}(c) \equiv \frac{[(m+2)p - mq]^2 - 4}{8m(m+2)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$$

where $p = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, $q = 1, 2, \dots, m+1$ and $p-q$ is even or odd corresponding to the Neveu-Schwarz case ($\varepsilon = 0$) or Ramond case ($\varepsilon = 1/2$).

Note that the Neveu-Schwarz algebra has a vacuum representation, namely a irreducible representation with 0 as eigenvalue of L_0 , the Ramond algebra has no vacuum representation.

6.2 Stress-energy tensor

Let c be an admissible value as above with L_n ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$), $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, G_r , the operators in the corresponding to a Neveu-Schwarz ($r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$) or Ramond ($r \in \mathbb{Z}$) representation. The Bose and Fermi stress-energy tensors are defined by

$$T_B(z) = \sum_n z^{-n-2} L_n \quad (21)$$

$$T_F(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_r z^{-r-3/2} G_r \quad (22)$$

namely

$$\text{Neveu-Schwarz case: } \begin{cases} T_B(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-2} L_n \\ T_F(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-m-2} G_{m+\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

$$\text{Ramond case: } \begin{cases} T_B(z) = \sum_n z^{-n-2} L_n \\ T_F(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-m-2} \sqrt{z} G_m \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Let's now make a formal calculation for the (anti)-commutation relations of the Fermi stress energy tensor T_F . We want to show that, setting $w \equiv z_2/z_1$, we have

$$[T_F(z_1), T_F(z_2)] = \frac{1}{2} z_1^{-1} T_B(z_1) \delta(w) + z_1^{-3} w^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{c}{12} (w^2 \delta''(w) + \frac{3}{4} \delta(w)) \quad (25)$$

both in the Neveu-Schwarz and in the Ramond case.

Setting $k = r = s \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have, say in the Ramond case,

$$\begin{aligned}
[T_F(z_1), T_F(z_2)] &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s} [G_r, G_s] z_1^{-r-3/2} z_2^{-s-3/2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s} L_{r+s} z_1^{-r-3/2} z_2^{-s-3/2} + \sum_r \frac{c}{12} \left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) z_1^{-r-3/2} z_2^{r-3/2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,k} L_k z_1^{-r-3/2} z_2^{-k+r-3/2} + z_1^{-3/2} z_2^{-3/2} \sum_r \frac{c}{12} \left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) w^r \\
&= \frac{1}{2} z_1^{-1} \left(\sum_k L_k z_2^{-k-2} \right) w^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_r w^r + z_1^{-3} w^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_r \frac{c}{12} \left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) w^r \\
&= \frac{1}{2} z_1^{-1} T_B(z_2) w^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_r w^r + z_1^{-3} w^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_r \frac{c}{12} \left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) w^r \\
&= \frac{1}{2} z_1^{-1} T_B(z_2) w^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta(w) + z_1^{-3} w^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{c}{12} \left(w^2 \delta''(w) + \left(w - \frac{1}{4}\right) \delta(w) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} z_1^{-1} T_B(z_1) \delta(w) + z_1^{-3} w^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{c}{12} \left(w^2 \delta''(w) + \frac{3}{4} \delta(w) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

As

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) w^r = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \left(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right) w^r = w^2 \delta''(w) + \frac{3}{4} \delta(w)$$

the above calculation (by using equalities as $\delta(w)\sqrt{w} = \delta(w)$ and similar ones) shows that the commutation relations for the Fermi stress energy tensor T_F and, analogously, the commutation relations for T_B and T_F are indeed the same in the Neveu-Schwarz case and in the Ramond case, namely they are representations of the same (anti-)commutation relations. This is basic reason to view the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond algebras as different types of representations of a unique algebra.

However the above calculation is only formal. To give it a rigorous meaning, and have convergent series, we have to smear the stress energy tensor with a smooth test function with support in an interval. We then arrive naturally to consider the net of von Neumann algebras of operators localised in intervals. In the case of central charge $c < 3/2$ we shall see that Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond representations correspond to DHR and general solitons of the associated super-Virasoro net.

6.3 Super-Virasoro nets

We give here the definition of the super-Virasoro nets for all the allowed values of the central charge. We follow the strategy adopted in [8] for the case of Virasoro nets, cf. also [12, 40]. An alternative construction in the case of the discrete series ($c < 3/2$) is outlined in Sect. 6.4.

Let λ be a unitary positive energy representation of the super-Virasoro algebra on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$. The corresponding conformal Hamiltonian L_0 is the self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ and we denote $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^\infty$ the dense subspace of smooth vectors for L_0 , namely the subspace of vectors belonging to the domain of L_0^n for all positive integers n .

The operators L_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy the linear energy bounds

$$\|L_n v\| \leq M(1 + |n|^{\frac{3}{2}}) \|(1 + L_0)v\|, \quad v \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda^\infty, \quad (26)$$

for suitable constant $M > 0$ depending on the central charge c , cf. [8, 13]. Moreover from the relations $[G_{-r}, G_r] = 2L_0 + \frac{c}{3}(r^2 - \frac{1}{4})$, we find the energy bounds

$$\|G_r v\| \leq (2 + \frac{c}{3}r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(1 + L_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} v\|, \quad v \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda^\infty, \quad (27)$$

where $r \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2$ (resp. $r \in \mathbb{Z}$) if λ is a Neveu-Schwarz (resp. Ramond) representation. We now consider the vacuum representation of the super-Virasoro algebra with central charge c and denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the corresponding Hilbert space and by Ω the vacuum vector, namely the unique (up to a phase) unit vector such that $L_0 \Omega = 0$.

Let f be a smooth function on S^1 . It follows from the linear energy bounds in Eq. (26) and the fact that the Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{f}_n = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (28)$$

are rapidly decreasing, that the smeared Bose stress-energy tensor

$$T_B(f) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_n L_n \quad (29)$$

is a well defined operator with invariant domain $\mathcal{H}^\infty$. Moreover, for f real, $T_B(f)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ (cf. [8]) and we shall denote again $T_B(f)$ its self-adjoint closure.

Now let f be a smooth function on S^1 whose support do not contains -1 . Then also the coefficients

$$\hat{f}_r = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{-ir\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad (30)$$

are rapidly decreasing and it follows from the energy bounds in Eq. (27) that the corresponding smeared Fermi stress-energy tensor

$$T_F(f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}_r G_r \quad (31)$$

is also a well defined operator with invariant domain $\mathcal{H}^\infty$. Again, for f real, $T_F(f)$ is essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ (cf. [8]) and we denote its self-adjoint closure by the same symbol.

As in Sect. 3.1 we identify $\mathbb{R}$ with $S^1/\{-1\}$ and consider the family $\mathcal{I}_\mathbb{R}$ of nonempty, bounded, open intervals of $\mathbb{R}$ as a subset of the family $\mathcal{I}$ of intervals of S^1 . We define a net SVir_c of von Neumann algebras on $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\text{SVir}_c(I) \equiv \{e^{iT_B(f)}, e^{iT_F(f)} : f \in C^\infty(S^1) \text{ real, } \text{supp } f \subset I\}'' , \quad I \in \mathcal{I}_\mathbb{R}. \quad (32)$$

Isotony is clear from the definition and we have to show that the net is graded local and covariant.

We first consider graded locality. The spectrum of L_0 is contained in $\mathbb{Z}/2$ and hence the unitary operator $\Gamma = e^{i2\pi L_0}$ is an involution such that $\Gamma \Omega = \Omega$. It is straightforward to check that if f_1, f_2 are smooth functions on S^1 and the support of f_2 does not contain -1 then $\Gamma T_B(f_1) = T_B(f_1) \Gamma$ and $\Gamma T_F(f_1) = -T_F(f_1) \Gamma$. Hence, $\gamma = \text{Ad } \Gamma$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on the net SVir_c , namely $\Gamma \text{SVir}_c(I) \Gamma^* = \text{SVir}_c(I)$, for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_\mathbb{R}$. Now let $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}_\mathbb{R}$ be disjoint intervals and let f_1, f_2 be real smooth functions on S^1 with support in I_1, I_2 respectively.

Then the operators $T_B(f_1)$ and $T_F(f_1)$ commute with $ZT_B(f_2)Z^*$ and $ZT_F(f_2)Z^*$, $Z = \frac{1-i\Gamma}{1-i}$, on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$, cf. the (anti-)commutation relations in Sect. 6.2 (note that $Z\mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{H}^\infty$). Using the energy bounds in Eq. (26) and Eq. (27) and the fact that Z commutes with L_0 one can apply the argument in [8, Sect. 2] to show that $e^{iT_B(f_1)}$ and $e^{iT_F(f_1)}$ commute with $Ze^{iT_B(f_2)}Z^*$ and $Ze^{iT_F(f_2)}Z^*$. It follows that the net SVir_c is graded local, namely

$$\text{SVir}_c(I_1) \subset Z\text{SVir}_c(I_2)Z^* \quad (33)$$

whenever I_1, I_2 are disjoint interval in $\mathcal{I}_\mathbb{R}$.

We now discuss covariance. The crucial fact here is that the representation of the Virasoro algebra on $\mathcal{H}$ integrates to a strongly continuous unitary projective positive-energy representation of $\text{Diff}^{(\infty)}(S^1)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by [25, 52] which factors through $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ because $e^{i4\pi L_0} = 1$. Hence there is a strongly continuous projective unitary representation U of $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that, for all real $f \in C^\infty(S^1)$ and all $x \in B(\mathcal{H})$,

$$U(\exp^{(2)}(tf))xU(\exp^{(2)}(tf))^* = e^{iT_B(f)}xe^{-iT_B(f)}, \quad (34)$$

where, $\exp^{(2)}(tf)$ denotes the lift to $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ of the one-parameter subgroup $\exp(tf)$ of $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ generated by the (real) smooth vector field $f(e^{i\theta})\frac{d}{d\theta}$. Moreover, if $\theta \rightarrow r^{(2)}(\theta)$ is the lift to $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ of the one-parameter subgroup of rotations in $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ we have

$$U(r^{(2)}(\theta)) = e^{i\theta L_0}, \quad (35)$$

for all θ in $\mathbb{R}$. The following properties of U follow rather straightforwardly.

(1) The restriction of U to the subgroup $\text{Möb}^{(2)} \subset \text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ lifts to a unique strongly continuous unitary representation which we again denote by U . If $\exp^{(2)}(tf) \in \text{Möb}^{(2)}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, this unitary representation satisfies

$$U(\exp^{(2)}(tf)) = e^{iT_B(f)}, \quad U(\exp^{(2)}(tf))\Omega = \Omega, \quad (36)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(2) If the support of the real smooth function f is contained in $I \in \mathcal{I}_\mathbb{R}$ then

$$U(g)e^{iT_B(f)}U(g)^* \in \text{SVir}_c(\dot{g}I) \quad (37)$$

for all $g \in \text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ such that $\dot{g}I \in \mathcal{I}_\mathbb{R}$.

(3) For all $g \in \text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ we have

$$U(g)\Gamma U(g)^* = \Gamma \quad (38)$$

for all $g \in \text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$.

Note that property (3) follows from the fact that $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ is connected and $\Gamma^2 = 1$.

We now consider the covariance properties of the Fermi stress-energy tensor T_F . From the commutation relations in equations (19) we find,

$$i[T_B(f_1), T_F(f_2)]v = T_F\left(\frac{1}{2}f'_1 f_2 - f'_2 f_1\right)v, \quad v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty \quad (39)$$

where f_1, f_2 are real smooth functions such that $\text{supp} f_2 \subset I$ for some $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and, for any $f \in C^\infty(S^1)$, f' is defined by $f'(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{d}{d\theta} f(e^{i\theta})$. For any $g \in \text{Diff}(S^1)$ consider the function $X_g : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$X_g(e^{i\theta}) = -i \frac{d}{d\theta} \log(g e^{i\theta}). \quad (40)$$

Since g is a diffeomorphism of S^1 preserving the orientation then $X_g(z) > 0$ for all $z \in S^1$. Moreover $X_g \in C^\infty(S^1)$. Another straightforward consequence of the definition is that

$$X_{g_1 g_2}(z) = X_{g_1}(g_2 z) X_{g_2}(z). \quad (41)$$

As a consequence the family of continuous linear operators $\beta(g)$, $g \in \text{Diff}(S^1)$ on the Fréchet space $C^\infty(S^1)$ defined by

$$(\beta(g)f)(z) = X_g(g^{-1}z)^{\frac{1}{2}} f(g^{-1}z) \quad (42)$$

gives a strongly continuous representation of $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ leaving the real subspace of real functions invariant. Moreover if $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(S^1)$ are real then vector valued function $t \rightarrow \beta(\exp(t f_1)) f_2$ is differentiable in $C^\infty(S^1)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \beta(\exp(t f_1)) f_2|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2} f_1' f_2 - f_1 f_2'. \quad (43)$$

Now let $\text{supp} f_2$ be a subset of some interval $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let $J_I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the connected component of 0 in $\mathbb{R}$ of the open set $\{t \in \mathbb{R} : \exp(t f_1) I \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$. Then, for any $v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ the function $J_I \ni t \rightarrow T_F(\beta(\exp(t f_1)) f_2) v$ is differentiable in $\mathcal{H}$ and it follows from Eq. (39) and Eq. (43) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} T_F(\beta(\exp(t f_1)) f_2) v|_{t=0} = i [T_B(f_1), T_F(f_2)] v. \quad (44)$$

We now specialize to the case of Möbius transformations i.e. we assume that $\exp(t f_1) \in \text{Möb}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The map $J_I \ni t \rightarrow v(t) \in \mathcal{H}$ given by

$$v(t) = T_F(\beta(\exp(t f_1)) f_2) U(\exp^{(2)}(t f_1)) v \quad (45)$$

is well defined because, $U(\exp^{(2)}(-t f_1)) \mathcal{H}^\infty = \mathcal{H}^\infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Note also that $v(t) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ for all $t \in J_I$. Now using Eq. (44) and the energy bounds in Eq. (27) it can be shown that $v(t)$ is differentiable (in the strong topology of $\mathcal{H}$) and that it satisfy the following differential equation on $\mathcal{H}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} v(t) = i T_B(f_1) v(t). \quad (46)$$

It follows that

$$v(t) = U(\exp^{(2)}(t f_1)) T_F(f_2) v \quad (47)$$

and since $v \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ was arbitrary we get, for all $t \in J_I$, the following equality of self-adjoint operators

$$U(\exp^{(2)}(t f_1)) T_F(f_2) U(\exp^{(2)}(-t f_1)) = T_F(\beta(\exp(t f_1)) f_2). \quad (48)$$

Now, if we denote by $\mathcal{U}_I^{(2)}$ the connected component of the identity in $\text{Möb}^{(2)}$ of the open set $\{g \in \text{Möb}^{(2)} : gI \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}\}$ it follows that

$$U(g) T_F(f) U(g)^* = T_F(\beta(g) f), \quad (49)$$

for any real smoot function on S^1 with $\text{supp} f \subset I$ and all $g \in \mathcal{U}_I^{(2)}$.

From Eq. (49) and Eq. (37) we have

$$U(g)\text{SVir}_c(I)U(g)^* = \text{SVir}_c(\dot{g}I) \quad I \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad g \in \mathcal{U}_I^{(2)}. \quad (50)$$

Hence SVir_c extends to a Möbius covariant net on S^1 satisfying graded locality, see Sect. 3.2. Note that we have not yet shown that the vacuum vector Ω is cyclic and hence we still don't know if the net satisfy all the requirements of Property 3 in the definition of Möbius covariant Fermi nets on S^1 given in Sect. 2.1. We shall however prove the cyclicity of the vacuum as a part of the following theorem.

Theorem 33. *SVir_c is an irreducible Fermi conformal on S^1 for any of the allowed values of the central charge c .*

Proof. Since Ω is the unique (up to a phase) unit vector in the kernel of L_0 , we only have to show that Ω is cyclic and that the strongly continuous positive-energy projective representation U of $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ defined above makes the net diffeomorphism covariant in the appropriate sense. We first show that Ω is cyclic for the net. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be the closure of $\bigvee_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \text{SVir}_c(I)\Omega$. We have to show that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$. Clearly $U(g)\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}$ for all $g \in \text{Möb}^{(2)}$. It follows that if $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ P_j is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}$ onto the kernel of $L_0 - k1$ then $P_j\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Now let $r \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2$. Since the smooth functions on S^1 whose support does not contain the point -1 is dense in $L^2(S^1)$ we can find an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a real smooth function f with $\text{supp} f \subset I$ such that $\hat{f}_r \neq 0$. Since $T_F(f)P_j\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}$ we find

$$G_r P_j \mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{\hat{f}_r} P_{j-r} T_F(f) P_j \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}. \quad (51)$$

A similar argument applies to the operators L_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and hence the linear span $\mathcal{L}$ of the subspaces $P_j\mathcal{K}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ is invariant for the representation of the super-Virasoro algebra. Since $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}$ is cyclic for the latter representation it follows that $\mathcal{L}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Hence $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}$ because $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$. Hence SVir_c is an irreducible Möbius covariant Fermi net on S^1 .

To show that SVir_c is diffeomorphism covariant we first observe that by [40, Sect. V.2] for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$ the group generated by diffeomorphisms of the form $\exp(f)$ with $\text{supp} f \subset I$ is dense in $\text{Diff}_I(S^1)$. It follows that the group generated by elements of the form $\exp^{(2)}(f)$ with $\text{supp} f \subset I$ is dense in $\text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1)$. Hence, for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and any $g \in \text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1)$, $U(g) \in \text{SVir}_c(I)$ and, by graded locality, $U(g) \in \text{SVir}(I)'$ because. Now, an adaptation of the argument in the proof of [12, Proposition 3.7] shows that SVir_c is diffeomorphism covariant and the proof is complete. $\square$

6.4 The discrete series of super-Virasoro nets

We shall now use the construction in [24] to study SVir_c with $c < 3/2$ an admissible value. First consider three real free Fermi fields in the NS representation. They define a graded-local net on S^1 . This net coincides with $\mathcal{F}^{\hat{\otimes} 3} = \mathcal{F} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is the net generated by a single real free Fermi field in the NS representation (cf. [2]) and $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the graded tensor product. The net $\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_2}$ embeds as a subnet of $\mathcal{F}^{\hat{\otimes} 3}$. Actually, from the discussion in [24, page 115] we have

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_2} = \mathcal{F}_b^{\hat{\otimes} 3}.$$

Now consider the conformal net $\mathcal{F}_N$ (on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_N$) given by $\mathcal{F}^{\hat{\otimes} 3} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_N}$, N positive integer.

Consider now the representation of the super-Virasoro algebra on $\mathcal{H}_N$ with central charge

$$c_N = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{(N+2)(N+4)} \right), \quad (52)$$

constructed in [24, Sect. 3] (coset construction). Then the corresponding stress energy-tensors T_B and T_F generate a family of von Neumann algebras on $\mathcal{H}_N$ as in eq. (32). Using the energy bounds in Eq. (26) and Eq. (27) it can be shown that this family defines a Fermi subnet of $\mathcal{F}_N$ as in eq. (32) which can be identified with the super-Virasoro net SVir_{c_N} . In this way we obtain all the super-Virasoro nets corresponding to the discrete series.

Using [24] we can identify these super-Virasoro nets as coset subnets. From the embedding

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_2} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_N} \subset \mathcal{F}_N \quad (53)$$

we have the embedding

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_{N+2}} \subset \mathcal{F}_N. \quad (54)$$

It follows from Eq. (3.13) and the claim at the end of page 114 in [24, Sect. 3] that SVir_{c_N} is contained in the coset

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_{N+2}})^c = (\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_2})' \cap \mathcal{F}_N. \quad (55)$$

Moreover it follows from the branching rules in [24, Eq. 4.15] that these nets coincide (cf. [35]) namely

$$\text{SVir}_{c_N} = (\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_{N+2}})^c. \quad (56)$$

As a consequence the Bose subnet $\text{SVir}_{c_N}^0 \equiv (\text{SVir}_{c_N})_b$ of the super-Virasoro net SVir_{c_N} is equal to the coset

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_{N+2}})' \cap \left(\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_2} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_N} \right) \quad (57)$$

and hence, by [60, Corollary 3.4] and [48, Theorem 24] $\text{SVir}_{c_N}^0$ is completely rational, see also [48, Corollary 28].

Now we look at representations. We denote (NS) and (R) the Neveu-Schwartz and Ramond representations for three Fermion fields respectively. In (NS) the lowest energy eigenspace is one-dimensional (“nondegenerate vacuum”), whilst in (R) it is two-dimensional (“2-fold degenerate vacuum”).⁵

It is almost obvious that (NS) corresponds to the vacuum representation π_{NS} of $\mathcal{F}^{\hat{\otimes} 3}$ and, arguing as in the proof of [2, Lemma 4.3], it can be shown that (R) corresponds to a general soliton π_R of the latter net. Clearly (NS) and (R) restrict to positive-energy representations of $\text{SU}(2)_2$. We denote by $\pi_{(N,l)}$ the representation of $\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_N}$ with spin l . At level N the possible values of the spin are those satisfying $0 \leq 2l \leq N$. Then the following identities hold (see [24, page 116]):

⁵Different Ramond representations could be defined corresponding to different choices of the corresponding representation of the Dirac algebra of the 0-modes on the subspace of lowest energy vectors, cf. page 113 and page 115 of [24].

$$\pi_{NS}|_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_2}} = \pi_{(2,0)} \oplus \pi_{(2,1)} \quad (58)$$

$$\pi_R|_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_2}} = \pi_{(2, \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (59)$$

Note that the restriction of (R) remains irreducible because the grading automorphism is not unitarily implemented, cf. Prop. 22.

Denote by $(c_N, h_{p,q})_{NS}$, resp. $(c_N, h_{p,q})_R$, a NS, resp. R, irreducible representation of super-Virasoro algebra with central charge c_N and lowest energy

$$h_{p,q} = \frac{[(N+4)p - (N+2)q]^2 - 4}{8(N+2)(N+4)},$$

resp.

$$h_{p,q} = \frac{[(N+4)p - (N+2)q]^2 - 4}{8(N+2)(N+4)} + \frac{1}{16},$$

where $p = 1, 2, \dots, N+1$, $q = 1, 2, \dots, N+3$ and $p-q$ is even in the NS case and odd in the R case.

As already mentioned, in the NS case, for every value of the central charge, the lowest energy $h_{p,q}$ completely determines the (equivalence class of) the representation. In contrast for a given values of the central charge and of the lowest energy $h_{p,q}$ there are two Ramond representations one with

$$G_0 \Psi_{h_{p,q}} = \sqrt{h_{p,q} - \frac{c_N}{24}} \Psi_{h_{p,q}}$$

and the other with

$$G_0 \Psi_{h_{p,q}} = -\sqrt{h_{p,q} - \frac{c_N}{24}} \Psi_{h_{p,q}},$$

where $\Psi_{h_{p,q}}$ is the lowest energy vector. These two representations are connected by the automorphism $G_r \rightarrow -G_r$ and become equivalent when restricted to the even (Bose) sub-algebra. Accordingly $(c_N, h_{p,q})_R$ denotes indifferently these two representations which are clearly inequivalent when $h_{p,q} \neq \frac{c_N}{24}$.

For a given N the equality $h_{p,q} = h_{p',q'}$ when $p-q$ and $p'-q'$ are both even or odd hold if and only if $p' = N+2-p$ and $q' = N+4-q$. Note also that it may happen that $h_{p,q} = h_{p',q'}$ when $p-q$ is even and $p'-q'$ is odd. For example, if $N=2$ then $h_{2,2} = h_{1,2} = 1/16$. Accordingly there are values of N for which a given value of the lowest energy corresponds to three distinct irreducible representations of super-Virasoro algebra: one NS representation and two R representations.

From [24, Section 4] we can conclude that there exist DHR representations $\pi_{h_{p,q}}^{NS}$, $p-q$ even, and general solitons $\pi_{h_{p,q}}^R$, $p-q$ odd, of SVir_{c_N} (associated to the representations $(c_N, h_{p,q})_{NS}$, resp. $(c_N, h_{p,q})_R$ of the of super-Virasoro algebra) such that

$$\left(\pi_{NS} \otimes \pi_{(N, \frac{1}{2}[p-1])} \right) |_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_{N+2}} \otimes \text{SVir}_{c_N}} = \bigoplus_q \pi_{(N+2, \frac{1}{2}[q-1])} \otimes \pi_{h_{p,q}}^{NS}, \quad (60)$$

$1 \leq q \leq N+3$, $p-q$ even, and

$$\left(\pi_R \otimes \pi_{(N, \frac{1}{2}[p-1])} \right) |_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_{N+2}} \otimes \text{SVir}_{c_N}} = \bigoplus_q \pi_{(N+2, \frac{1}{2}[q-1])} \otimes \pi_{h_{p,q}}^R, \quad (61)$$

$1 \leq q \leq N + 3$, $p - q$ odd.

We now denote $\rho_{h_{p,q}}^{NS}$, resp. $\rho_{h_{p,q}}^R$, the restriction of $\pi_{h_{p,q}}^{NS}$, resp $\pi_{h_{p,q}}^R$, to $\text{SVir}_{c_N}^0$.

In the representation space of $\pi_{h_{p,q}}^{NS}$ the grading is always unitarily implemented and hence we have the direct sum

$$\rho_{h_{p,q}}^{NS} = \rho_{h_{p,q}}^{NS+} \oplus \rho_{h_{p,q}}^{NS-}$$

of two (inequivalent) irreducible representations corresponding to the eigenspaces with eigenvalues 1 and -1 of the grading operator respectively.

In contrast in the case of $\pi_{h_{p,q}}^R$ the grading automorphism is unitarily implemented only if $h_{p,q} = c_N/24$. This happens if and only if N is even and $p = (N + 2)/2$, $q = (N + 4)/2$. In this case $\pi_{\frac{c_N}{24}}^R$ is a supersymmetric general representation of the Fermi conformal net SVir_{c_N} . Moreover we have the decomposition into irreducible (inequivalent) subrepresentations

$$\rho_{\frac{c_N}{24}}^R = \rho_{\frac{c_N}{24}}^{R+} \oplus \rho_{\frac{c_N}{24}}^{R-}.$$

In the remaining cases $\rho_{h_{p,q}}^R$ is irreducible.

Restricting Eq. (60) and Eq. (61) to the Bose elements and using Equations (58), (59) we get

$$\left(\pi_{(2,0)} \otimes \pi_{(N, \frac{1}{2}[p-1])} \right) |_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_{N+2}} \otimes \text{SVir}_{c_N}^0} = \bigoplus_q \pi_{(N+2, \frac{1}{2}[q-1])} \otimes \rho_{h_{p,q}}^{NS+}, \quad (62)$$

$$\left(\pi_{(2,1)} \otimes \pi_{(N, \frac{1}{2}[p-1])} \right) |_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_{N+2}} \otimes \text{SVir}_{c_N}^0} = \bigoplus_q \pi_{(N+2, \frac{1}{2}[q-1])} \otimes \rho_{h_{p,q}}^{NS-}, \quad (63)$$

$1 \leq q \leq N + 3$, $p - q$ even, and

$$\left(\pi_{(2, \frac{1}{2})} \otimes \pi_{(N, \frac{1}{2}[p-1])} \right) |_{\mathcal{A}_{\text{SU}(2)_{N+2}} \otimes \text{SVir}_{c_N}^0} = \bigoplus_q \pi_{(N+2, \frac{1}{2}[q-1])} \otimes \rho_{h_{p,q}}^R, \quad (64)$$

$1 \leq q \leq N + 3$, $p - q$ odd.

Now, recalling the identification of $\text{SVir}_{c_N}^0$ as a coset in Eq. (57), it follows from [60, Corollary 3.2] that every irreducible DHR representation of this net is equivalent to one of those considered before, namely $\rho_{h_{p,q}}^{NS+}$, $\rho_{h_{p,q}}^{NS-}$ and $\rho_{h_{p,q}}^R$ ($h_{p,q} \neq c_N/24$), $\rho_{\frac{c_N}{24}}^{R+}$ and $\rho_{\frac{c_N}{24}}^{R-}$.

6.5 Modularity of local super-Virasoro nets

We state here explicitly the modularity of the Bose subnet super-Virasoro nets for $c < 3/2$. In this case the Bose super-Virasoro net can be obtained as the coset (57). Then the Rehren S and T matrices as been computed by Xu in [61, Sect.2.2.] (see also Sect. 7 below). These matrices agree with those in [23] and [21] giving modular transformations of specialised characters. Accordingly we have the following.

Theorem 34. *For a positive even integer N then $\text{SVir}_{c_N}^0$ is a modular conformal net.*

7 Classification of superconformal nets in the discrete series

By a *superconformal net* (of von Neumann algebras on S^1) we shall mean a Fermi net on S^1 that contains a super-Virasoro net as irreducible subnet. If the central charge c of a superconformal net is less than $3/2$, it is of the form $c = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{m(m+2)} \right)$ for some $m = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ [20]. We classify all such superconformal nets.

7.1 Outline of classification

As above, we denote the super Virasoro net with central charge c and its Bosonic part by SVir_c and SVir_c^0 , respectively. We are interested in the case $c < 3/2$. In this case, we have $c = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{m(m+2)}\right)$ for some $m = 3, 4, 5, \dots$, and we have already seen that in this case the local conformal net SVir_c^0 is realised as a coset net for the inclusion $SU(2)_m \subset SU(2)_{m-2} \otimes SU(2)_2$. This net is completely rational in the sense of [38] by [60]. The DHR sectors of the local conformal net SVir_c^0 is described as follows by [61, Section 2.2]. Label the DHR sectors of the local conformal nets $SU(2)_m$, $SU(2)_{m-2}$, and $SU(2)_2$ by $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, m-2$, and $l = 0, 1, 2$, respectively. Then we consider the triples (j, k, l) with $j - k + l$ being even. For $l = 0, 2$, we have identification

$$(j, k, l) \leftrightarrow (m - 2 - j, m - k, 2 - l),$$

thus it is enough to consider the triples $(j, k, 0)$ with $j - k$ being even. Each such triple labels an irreducible DHR sector of the coset net SVir_c^0 . For the case $l = 1$, we also have identification

$$(j, k, l) \leftrightarrow (m - 2 - j, m - k, 2 - l),$$

but if we have a fixed point for this symmetry, that is, if m is even, then the fixed point $(2/m - 1, m/2, 1)$ splits into two pieces, $(2/m - 1, m/2, 1)_+$ and $(2/m - 1, m/2, 1)_-$. All of these triples, with this identification and splitting, label all the irreducible DHR sectors of the coset net SVir_c^0 . The sectors with $l = 0$ and $l = 1$ are called Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond sectors, respectively.

The conformal spin of the sector (j, k, l) is given by

$$\exp \left(\frac{\pi i}{2} \left(\frac{j(j+2)}{m} - \frac{k(k+2)}{m+2} + \frac{l(l+2)}{4} \right) \right).$$

(This also works for the case $(j, k, l) = (2/m - 1, m/2, 1)$.)

For example, if $m = 3$, we have six irreducible DHR sectors and they are labelled with triples $(0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 2, 0)$, $(1, 1, 0)$, $(1, 3, 0)$, $(0, 3, 1)$, $(1, 2, 1)$. (This local conformal net is equal to the Virasoro net with $c = 7/10$.) For $m = 4$, we have 13 irreducible DHR sectors and they are labelled with $(0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 2, 0)$, $(0, 4, 0)$, $(1, 1, 0)$, $(1, 3, 0)$, $(2, 0, 0)$, $(2, 2, 0)$, $(2, 4, 0)$, $(0, 3, 1)$, $(1, 4, 1)$, $(2, 3, 1)$, $(1, 2, 1)_+$, $(1, 2, 1)_-$, where the two labels $(1, 2, 1)_+$, $(1, 2, 1)_-$ arise from the fixed point $(1, 2, 1)$ of the symmetry of order 2.

For all m , the irreducible DHR sector $(m - 2, m, 0)$ has a dimension 1 and a spin -1 . The superconformal net SVir_c arises as a non-local extension of SVir_c^0 as a crossed product by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ using identity and this sector.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be any superconformal net on the circle with $c < 3/2$. Then let $\mathcal{B}$ be its Bosonic part. By a similar argument to that in [35, Proposition 3.5], we know that the local conformal net $\mathcal{B}$ is an irreducible extension of the local conformal net SVir_c^0 , where c is the central charge of $\mathcal{A}$. By the strategy in [35] based on [4], we know that the dual canonical endomorphism θ of an extension is of the form $\theta = \sum_{\lambda} Z_{0,\lambda} \lambda$, where Z is the modular invariant arising from the extension as in [4]. Cappelli [9] gave a list of type I modular invariants and conjectured that it is a complete list. From his list, it is easy to guess that the dual canonical endomorphisms we use for obtaining extensions are those listed in Table 1. (Cappelli also considered type II modular invariants, but they do not correspond to local extensions, so we

	m	θ	Label
(1)	any m	$\theta = (0, 0, 0)$	(A_{m-1}, A_{m+1})
(2)	$m = 4m'$	$\theta = (0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, m, 0)$	$(A_{4m'-1}, D_{2m'+2})$
(3)	$m = 4m' + 2$	$\theta = (0, 0, 0) \oplus (m - 2, 0, 0)$	$(D_{2m'+2}, A_{4m'+3})$
(4)	$m = 10$	$\theta = (0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 6, 0)$	(A_9, E_6)
(5)	$m = 12$	$\theta = (0, 0, 0) \oplus (6, 0, 0)$	(E_6, A_{13})
(6)	$m = 28$	$\theta = (0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 10, 0) \oplus (0, 18, 0) \oplus (0, 28, 0)$	(A_{27}, E_8)
(7)	$m = 30$	$\theta = (0, 0, 0) \oplus (10, 0, 0) \oplus (18, 0, 0) \oplus (28, 0, 0)$	(E_8, A_{31})
(8)	$m = 10$	$\theta = (0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 6, 0) \oplus (8, 6, 0) \oplus (8, 6, 0)$	(D_6, E_6)
(9)	$m = 12$	$\theta = (0, 0, 0) \oplus (6, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 12, 0) \oplus (6, 12, 0)$	(E_6, D_8)

Table 1: List of candidates of the dual canonical endomorphisms

ignore them here.) We will prove that each of the dual canonical endomorphisms in Table 1 gives a local extension of SVir_c^0 in a unique way and that an arbitrary such local extension of SVir_c^0 gives one of the dual canonical endomorphisms in Table 1.

7.2 Study of type I modular invariants

We study type I modular invariants for the coset nets for the inclusions $SU(2)_m \subset SU(2)_{m-2} \otimes SU(2)_2$.

First we recall the S and T matrices for $SU(2)_m$. For $j, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{jk}^{(m)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{m+2}} \sin \pi \frac{(j+1)(k+1)}{m+2}, \\
T_{jk}^{(m)} &= \delta_{jk} \exp \frac{\pi i}{2} \left(\frac{(j+1)^2}{m+2} - \frac{1}{2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For odd m , we have no problem arising from a fixed point of the order two symmetry, and in this case, the modular invariants have been already classified by Gannon-Walton [23], which shows that the identity matrix is the only modular invariant. So we have no non-trivial extensions in these cases.

So we now deal with the case of even m in the rest of this section and put $m = 2m_0$. In this case, the S -matrix of the modular tensor category of the irreducible DHR-sectors of the coset net is already not so easy to obtain, and it has been computed by Xu [61].

As in the previous section, we label the irreducible DHR sectors of the coset net for the inclusion $SU(2)_m \subset SU(2)_{m-2} \otimes SU(2)_2$ with triples (j, k, l) with $j - k + l$ being even, except for the case $(m/2 - 1, m/2, 1)$. For this fixed point of the order two symmetry, we use labels $\varphi_1 = (m/2 - 1, m/2, 1)_+$, $\varphi_2 = (m/2 - 1, m/2, 1)_-$ to denote the two irreducible DHR sectors. We also use the symbols $S^{(m-2)}$, $S^{(m)}$, $S^{(2)}$ and S for the S -matrices for the nets $SU(2)_{m-2}$, $SU(2)_m$, $SU(2)_2$ and the coset for $SU(2)_m \subset SU(2)_{m-2} \otimes SU(2)_2$, respectively. Then Xu's computation for the matrix S in [61] gives the following, where $n = 1, 2$.

1. $S_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')} = 2S_{jj'}^{(m-2)} \overline{S_{kk'}^{(m)}} S_{ll'}^{(2)}$ for $(j, k, l), (j', k', l') \neq \varphi_{1,2}$.
2. $S_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l'), \varphi_n} = S_{\varphi_n, (j,k,l), (j',k',l')} = S_{j, m/2-1}^{(m-2)} \overline{S_{k, m/2}^{(m)}} S_{l1}^{(2)}$ for $(j, k, l) \neq \varphi_{1,2}$.

$$3. S_{\varphi_n, \varphi_{n'}} = \delta_{nn'} + (S_{m/2-1, m/2-1}^{(m-2)} \overline{S_{m/2, m/2}^{(m)}} S_{11}^{(2)} - 1)/2.$$

The T -matrix of the coset is described as follows more easily.

1. $T_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')} = T_{jj'}^{(m-2)} \overline{T_{kk'}^{(m)}} T_{ll'}^{(2)}$ for $(j,k,l), (j',k',l') \neq \varphi_{1,2}$.
2. $T_{(j,k,l), \varphi_n} = T_{\varphi_n, (j,k,l)} = T_{j, m/2-1}^{(m-2)} \overline{T_{k, m/2}^{(m)}} T_{11}^{(2)}$ for $(j,k,l) \neq \varphi_{1,2}$.
3. $T_{\varphi_n, \varphi_n'} = \delta_{nn'} T_{m/2-1, m/2-1}^{(m-2)} \overline{T_{m/2, m/2}^{(m)}} T_{11}^{(2)}.$

Suppose we have a modular invariant Z for the coset for $SU(2)_m \subset SU(2)_{m-2} \otimes SU(2)_2$. We define a new matrix $\tilde{Z}_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')}$ where the triples (j,k,l) and (j',k',l') satisfy $j, j' \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-2\}$, $k, k' \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, $l, l' \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Note that we have no identification or splitting for the triples (j,k,l) and (j',k',l') here.

1. If $j - k + l, j' - k' + l' \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $(j,k,l), (j',k',l') \neq (m/2-1, m/2, 1)$, then we set $\tilde{Z}_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')} = Z_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')}$.
2. If $j - k + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $(j,k,l) \neq (m/2-1, m/2, 1)$, then we set $\tilde{Z}_{(j,k,l),(m/2-1, m/2, 1)} = Z_{(j,k,l), \varphi_1} + Z_{(j,k,l), \varphi_2}$.
3. If $j' - k' + l' \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $(j',k',l') \neq (m/2-1, m/2, 1)$, then we set $\tilde{Z}_{(m/2-1, m/2, 1), (j',k',l')} = Z_{\varphi_1, (j',k',l')} + Z_{\varphi_2, (j',k',l')}$.
4. $\tilde{Z}_{(m/2-1, m/2, 1), (m/2-1, m/2, 1)} = Z_{\varphi_1, \varphi_1} + Z_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} + Z_{\varphi_2, \varphi_1} + Z_{\varphi_2, \varphi_2}$.
5. If $j - k + l \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$ or $j' - k' + l' \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$, then we set $\tilde{Z}_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')} = 0$.

This construction is analogous to the one of $\mathcal{L}^{cw}$ in [23, page 178], but now unlike in [23], our map $Z \mapsto \tilde{Z}$ may not be injective because of the definition of $\tilde{Z}$ involving the row/column labelled with $(m/2-1, m/2, 1)$.

For triples (j,k,l) and (j',k',l') satisfying $j, j' \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-2\}$, $k, k' \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, $l, l' \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, we set as follows. (We do not impose the conditions $j - k + l, j' - k' + l' \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ here.)

1. $\tilde{S}_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')} = S_{jj'}^{(m-2)} \overline{S_{kk'}^{(m)}} S_{ll'}^{(2)}.$
2. $\tilde{T}_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')} = T_{jj'}^{(m-2)} \overline{T_{kk'}^{(m)}} T_{ll'}^{(2)}.$

We also write $\varphi = (m/2-1, m/2, 1)$ and set

$$I = \{(j,k,0) \mid j+k \in 2\mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{(j,k,1) \mid j+k \notin 2\mathbb{Z}, j+k < m-1\},$$

which gives representatives of $\{(j,k,l) \mid j-k+l \in 2\mathbb{Z}\} \setminus \{\varphi\}$ under the order 2 symmetry.

We now have the following proposition.

Proposition 35. *If the matrix Z is a modular invariant for S, T , then the matrix $\tilde{Z}$ is a modular invariant for $\tilde{S}, \tilde{T}$.*

Proof. It is clear that the entries of the matrix $\tilde{Z}$ are nonnegative integers and we have $\tilde{Z}_{(0,0,0),(0,0,0)} = 1$. We thus have to prove $\tilde{Z}\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}\tilde{Z}$ and $\tilde{Z}\tilde{T} = \tilde{T}\tilde{Z}$. The latter is clear from the definition, so we have to prove the former identity at $(j, k, l), (j', k', l')$. We deal with seven cases separately.

Case (1). $j - k + l \notin 2\mathbb{Z}, j' - k' + l' \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$. The identity is trivial because the both hand sides are zero.

Case (2). $j - k + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}, j' - k' + l' \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$. The right hand side is obviously zero by the definition of $\tilde{Z}$, and the following three identities now prove that the left hand side is also zero.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{S}_{\varphi,(j',k',l')} &= 0, \\ \tilde{Z}_{(j,k,l),(j'',k'',l'')} &= \tilde{Z}_{(j,k,l),(m-2-j'',m-k'',2-l'')}, \\ \tilde{S}_{(j'',k'',l''),(j',k',l')} &= -\tilde{S}_{(m-2-j'',m-k'',2-l''),(j',k',l')}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the third identity holds because $j' - k' + l' \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Case (3). $j - k + l \notin 2\mathbb{Z}, j' - k' + l' \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. This case can be proved in the same way as above.

Case (4). $j - k + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}, j' - k' + l' \in 2\mathbb{Z}, (j, k, l) \neq \varphi, (j', k', l') \neq \varphi$. The identity $ZS = SZ$ gives the following identity.

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{(j'',k'',l'') \in I} 2Z_{(j,k,l),(j'',k'',l'')} \tilde{S}_{(j'',k'',l''),(j',k',l')} + Z_{(j,k,l),\varphi_1} \tilde{S}_{\varphi_1,(j',k',l')} + Z_{(j,k,l),\varphi_2} \tilde{S}_{\varphi_2,(j',k',l')} \\ &= \sum_{(j'',k'',l'') \in I} 2S_{(j,k,l),(j'',k'',l'')} Z_{(j'',k'',l''),(j',k',l')} + \tilde{S}_{(j,k,l),\varphi} Z_{\varphi_1,(j',k',l')} + \tilde{S}_{(j,k,l),\varphi} Z_{\varphi_2,(j',k',l')}.\end{aligned}$$

Since we now have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{S}_{(j'',k'',l''),(j',k',l')} &= \tilde{S}_{(m-2-j'',m-k'',2-l''),(j',k',l')}, \\ \tilde{S}_{(j,k,l),(j'',k'',l'')} &= \tilde{S}_{(j,k,l),(m-2-j'',m-k'',2-l'')}\end{aligned}$$

we conclude $(\tilde{Z}\tilde{S})_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')} = (\tilde{Z}\tilde{Z})_{(j,k,l),(j',k',l')}$.

Case (5). $j - k + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}, (j, k, l) \neq \varphi, (j', k', l') = \varphi$. The identity $ZS = SZ$ gives the following identity for $n = 1, 2$.

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{(j'',k'',l'') \in I} Z_{(j,k,l),(j'',k'',l'')} \tilde{S}_{(j'',k'',l''),\varphi} + Z_{(j,k,l),\varphi_1} S_{\varphi_1,\varphi_n} + Z_{(j,k,l),\varphi_2} S_{\varphi_2,\varphi_n} \\ &= \sum_{(j'',k'',l'') \in I} 2\tilde{S}_{(j,k,l),(j'',k'',l'')} Z_{(j'',k'',l''),\varphi_n} + \tilde{S}_{(j,k,l),\varphi} Z_{\varphi_1,\varphi_n} + \tilde{S}_{(j,k,l),\varphi} Z_{\varphi_2,\varphi_n}.\end{aligned}$$

Adding these two equalities for $n = 1, 2$, we obtain the following identity, which gives $(\tilde{Z}\tilde{S})_{(j,k,l),\varphi} = (\tilde{Z}\tilde{Z})_{(j,k,l),\varphi}$.

$$\begin{aligned}& \sum_{(j'',k'',l'') \in I} Z_{(j,k,l),(j'',k'',l'')} \tilde{S}_{(j'',k'',l''),\varphi} + (Z_{(j,k,l),\varphi_1} + Z_{(j,k,l),\varphi_2}) \tilde{S}_{\varphi,\varphi} \\ &= \sum_{(j'',k'',l'') \in I} 2\tilde{S}_{(j,k,l),(j'',k'',l'')} (Z_{(j'',k'',l''),\varphi_1} + Z_{(j'',k'',l''),\varphi_2}) + \\ & \quad \tilde{S}_{(j,k,l),\varphi} (Z_{\varphi_1,\varphi_1} + Z_{\varphi_1,\varphi_2} + Z_{\varphi_2,\varphi_1} + Z_{\varphi_2,\varphi_2}).\end{aligned}$$

Case (6). $(j, k, l) = \varphi$, $j' - k' + l' \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, $(j', k', l') \neq \varphi$. This case can be proved in the same way as above.

Case (7). $(j, k, l) = (j', k', l') = \varphi$. The identity $ZS = SZ$ gives the following identity for $n = 1, 2$, $n' = 1, 2$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(j'', k'', l'') \in I} Z_{\varphi_n, (j'', k'', l'')} \tilde{S}_{(j'', k'', l''), \varphi} + Z_{\varphi_n, \varphi_1} S_{\varphi_1, \varphi_{n'}} + Z_{\varphi_n, \varphi_2} S_{\varphi_2, \varphi_{n'}} \\ &= \sum_{(j'', k'', l'') \in I} \tilde{S}_{\varphi, (j'', k'', l'')} Z_{(j'', k'', l''), \varphi_{n'}} + S_{\varphi_n, \varphi_1} Z_{\varphi_1, \varphi_{n'}} + S_{\varphi_n, \varphi_2} Z_{\varphi_2, \varphi_{n'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these four identities for $n, n' = 1, 2$, we obtain the following identity, which gives $(\tilde{Z}\tilde{S})_{\varphi, \varphi} = (\tilde{Z}\tilde{Z})_{\varphi, \varphi}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(j'', k'', l'') \in I} 2(Z_{\varphi_1, (j'', k'', l'')} + Z_{\varphi_2, (j'', k'', l'')}) \tilde{S}_{(j'', k'', l''), \varphi} + \\ & (Z_{\varphi_1, \varphi_1} + Z_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} + Z_{\varphi_2, \varphi_1} + Z_{\varphi_2, \varphi_2}) \tilde{S}_{\varphi, \varphi} \\ &= \sum_{(j'', k'', l'') \in I} 2\tilde{S}_{\varphi, (j'', k'', l'')} (Z_{(j'', k'', l''), \varphi_1} + Z_{(j'', k'', l''), \varphi_2}) + \\ & \tilde{S}_{\varphi, \varphi} (Z_{\varphi_1, \varphi_1} + Z_{\varphi_1, \varphi_2} + Z_{\varphi_2, \varphi_1} + Z_{\varphi_2, \varphi_2}) \end{aligned}$$

□

We now recall Gannon's parity rule [22, page 696]. For n and j , we set $\varepsilon_{2n}(j)$ as follows.

1. If $j \equiv 0, n \bmod 2n$, then $\varepsilon_{2n}(j) = 0$.
2. If $j \equiv 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \bmod 2n$, then $\varepsilon_{2n}(j) = 1$.
3. If $j \equiv n+1, n+2, \dots, 2n-1 \bmod 2n$, then $\varepsilon_{2n}(j) = -1$.

Set

$$L = \{n \mid (n, 8m(m+2)) = 1\}.$$

Then the parity rule is the following. If we have a modular invariant $\tilde{Z}$ for $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{T}$ with $\tilde{Z}_{000, jkl} \neq 0$, then the parity rule says that we have

$$\varepsilon_{2m}(n) \varepsilon_{2m+4}(n) \varepsilon_8(n) = \varepsilon_{2m}(n(j+1)) \varepsilon_{2m+4}(n(k+1)) \varepsilon_8(n(l+1))$$

for all $n \in L$.

Now suppose $m_0 = m/2$ is odd. For any n_1 with $(n_1, 4m_0) = 1$, choose $p \in \{1, -1\}$ so that $n_1 \equiv p \bmod 4$. Then it is easy to see that there exists $n \in L$ satisfying $n \equiv n_1 \bmod 4m_0$, $n \equiv p \bmod 4(m_0+1)$, $n \equiv p \bmod 8$, since we have $(m_0, m_0+1) = 1$. Suppose $\tilde{Z}_{000, jkl} \neq 0$. Then the parity rule says

$$\varepsilon_{2m}(n_1) \varepsilon_{2m+4}(p) \varepsilon_8(p) = \varepsilon_{2m}(n_1(j+1)) \varepsilon_{2m+4}(p(k+1)) \varepsilon_8(p(l+1)),$$

which gives $\varepsilon_{2m}(n_1) = \varepsilon_{2m}(n_1(j+1))$ for all n_1 with $(n_1, 2m) = 1$. If $m_0 \neq 3, 5, 15$, then we have $j = 0, m-2$ by [22]. Using the order two symmetry $(j, k, l) \leftrightarrow (m-2-j, m-k, 2-l)$ and the definition of $\tilde{Z}$, we conclude that our dual canonical endomorphism θ has a decomposition

within $\{(0, k, l) \mid -k + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $\mathcal{T}_1$ be the tensor category of the representations of $SU(2)_m$ with the opposite braiding and $\mathcal{T}_2$ be the tensor category of the representations of $SU(2)_2$ with the usual braiding. If θ gives a local extension for the tensor category generated by $\{(0, k, l) \mid -k + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$, then it also gives a local extension for the tensor category $\mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2$ by the description of the braided tensor category having the simple objects $\{(0, k, l) \mid -k + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$ by [59, Section 4.3], [63, Proposition 2.3.1, Proof of Theorem B]. (Note that we have the notion of a local extension as a local Q -system in [45] for an abstract tensor category.) Then this extension for $\mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2$ gives a type I modular invariant for the S - and T -matrices arising from $\mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2$. Then Gannon's classification of modular invariants for $SU(2)_m \otimes SU(2)_2$ in [22, Section 7] shows that our θ must be one of Table 1. (Here we have an opposite braiding for $\mathcal{T}_1$, but this does not matter since we can consider a modular invariant $Z_{k'l, kl'}$ instead of $Z_{kl, k'l'}$ as in [23, page 178].)

Next suppose $m_0 = m/2$ is even. For any n_2 with $(n_2, 4(m_0 + 1)) = 1$, choose $p \in \{1, -1\}$ so that $n_2 \equiv p \pmod{4}$. Then it is easy to see that there exists $n \in L$ satisfying $n \equiv p \pmod{4m_0}$, $n \equiv n_2 \pmod{4(m_0 + 1)}$, $n \equiv p \pmod{8}$, since we have $(m_0, m_0 + 1) = 1$. Suppose $\tilde{Z}_{(0,0,0),(j,k,l)} \neq 0$. Then the parity rule says

$$\varepsilon_{2m}(p)\varepsilon_{2m+4}(n_2)\varepsilon_8(p) = \varepsilon_{2m}(p(j+1))\varepsilon_{2m+4}(n_2(k+1))\varepsilon_8(p(l+1)),$$

which gives $\varepsilon_{2m+4}(n_2) = \varepsilon_{2m+4}(n_2(k+1))$ for all n_2 with $(n_2, 4(m_0 + 1)) = 1$. If $m_0 \neq 2, 4, 14$, then we have $k = 0, m$ by [22]. Using the order two symmetry $(j, k, l) \leftrightarrow (m-2-j, m-k, 2-l)$ and the definition of $\tilde{Z}$ again, we conclude that our dual canonical endomorphism θ has a decomposition within $\{(j, 0, l) \mid j + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$. If θ gives a local extension for the tensor category generated by $\{(0, k, l) \mid -k + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$, then it also gives a local extension for the tensor category of the representations $SU(2)_{m-2} \otimes SU(2)_2$ by the description of the braided tensor category having the simple objects $\{(j, 0, l) \mid j + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$ by [59, Section 4.3], [64, Proposition 2.3.1]. Then this extension gives a type I modular invariant for the S - and T -matrices arising from $SU(2)_{m-2} \otimes SU(2)_2$, so Gannon's classification of modular invariants for $SU(2)_{m-2} \otimes SU(2)_2$ in [22, Section 7] again shows that our θ must be one of Table 1.

We now deal with the remaining exceptional cases $m = 4, 6, 8, 10, 28, 30$. If $\tilde{Z}_{(0,0,0),(j,k,l)} \neq 0$ and $j - k + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, then the conformal spin of (j, k, l) is 1. This condition already gives the restriction that the dual canonical endomorphisms θ has a decomposition within

$$\{(j, k, 0) \mid j + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$$

for $m = 4, 6, 8, 10, 28$. Again Gannon's classification of modular invariants in [22] shows that our θ must be one of Table 1.

The final remaining case is $m = 30$. In this case, the only sectors (j, k, l) with $l = 1$ and $j - k + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ having conformal spins 1 are $(3, 12, 1)$ and $(13, 18, 1)$. The parity rules with $n = 13, 7$ exclude these two sectors from θ , respectively. Thus again the dual canonical endomorphism θ has a decomposition within

$$\{(j, k, 0) \mid j + l \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$$

and Gannon's classification in [22] shows that our θ must be one of Table 1.

We have thus proved that if we have a local extension of SVir_c^0 , then its dual canonical endomorphism must be one of those listed in Table 1.

7.3 Classification of the Fermi extensions of the super-Virasoro net, $c < 3/2$

We now show that each endomorphism in Table 1 uniquely produces a superconformal net extending SVir_c .

(1) We have the label (A_{m-1}, A_{m+1}) . The identity matrix obviously gives the coset net for $SU(2)_m \subset SU(2)_{m-2} \otimes SU(2)_2$ itself. This has a unique Fermionic extension.

(2) Now we have the label $(A_{4m'-1}, D_{2m'+2})$ with $m = 4m'$. The irreducible DHR sectors $(0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 4m', 0)$ give the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The crossed product of the coset net by this group gives a local extension. The irreducible DHR sector $(4m' - 2, 0, 0)$ has spin -1 , and this sector still gives an irreducible DHR sector of index 1 and spin -1 after the α -induction applied to the crossed product construction. This α -induced sector gives a unique Fermionic extension through a crossed product by $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

(3) Now we have the label $(D_{2m'+2}, A_{4m'+3})$ with $m = 4m' + 2$. The irreducible DHR sectors $(0, 0, 0)$, $(4m', 0, 0)$ give the group $\mathbb{Z}_2$. The crossed product of the coset net by this group gives a local extension. The irreducible DHR sector $(0, 4m' + 2, 0)$ has spin -1 , and this sector still gives an irreducible DHR sector of index 1 and spin -1 after the α -induction applied to the crossed product construction. This again gives a unique Fermionic extension in a similar way to case (2).

(4) Now we have the label (A_9, E_6) for $m = 10$. The DHR sector $(0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 6, 0)$ gives a local Q -system as in [35, Subsection 4.2] by the description of the braided tensor category having the simple objects $\{(0, k, 0) \mid k \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$ as in [59, Section 4.3], [63, Proposition 2.3.1, Proof of Theorem B].

Uniqueness also follows as in [35, Remark 2.5], using the cohomology vanishing result in [36]. (This is also a mirror extension in the sense of [64], so locality of the extension also follows from [64].) The irreducible DHR sector $(0, 10, 0)$ has spin -1 , and this sector still gives an irreducible DHR sector of index 1 and spin -1 after the α -induction applied to the local extension. This gives a unique Fermionic extension as above.

(5) Now we have the label (E_6, A_{13}) for $m = 12$. The irreducible DHR sectors $(0, 0, 0)$, $(6, 0, 0)$ give a local Q -system as in (4) by the description of the braided tensor category having the simple objects $\{(j, 0, 0) \mid j \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$ as in [59, Section 4.3], [63, Proposition 2.3.1]. Uniqueness also follows as above. (This is also a coset model as in [35].) The irreducible DHR sector $(10, 0, 0)$ has spin -1 , and this sector still gives an irreducible DHR sector of index 1 and spin -1 after the α -induction applied to the local extension. This gives a unique Fermionic extension as above.

(6) Now we have the label (A_{27}, E_8) for $m = 28$. The irreducible DHR sectors $(0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 10, 0)$, $(0, 18, 0)$, $(0, 28, 0)$ give a local Q -system as in case (4). Uniqueness also follows as above, using the cohomology vanishing result in [36]. (This is again also a mirror extension in the sense of [64].) The irreducible DHR sector $(26, 0, 0)$ has spin -1 , and this sector still gives an irreducible DHR sector of index 1 and spin -1 after the α -induction applied to the local extension. This gives a unique Fermionic extension as above.

(7) Now we have the label (E_8, A_{31}) for $m = 30$. The irreducible DHR sectors $(0, 0, 0)$, $(10, 0, 0)$, $(18, 0, 0)$, $(28, 0, 0)$ give a local Q -system as in (5). Uniqueness also follows as above. (This is again also a coset model as in [35].) The irreducible DHR sector $(0, 30, 0)$ has spin -1 , and this sector still gives an irreducible DHR sector of index 1 and spin -1 after the α -induction applied to the local extension. This gives a unique Fermionic extension as above.

(8) Now we have the label (D_6, E_6) for $m = 10$. The irreducible DHR sectors $(0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 6, 0)$, $(8, 0, 0)$, $(8, 6, 0)$ give a local Q -system, since this is a further index 2 extension of the local extension given in the above (4). Uniqueness holds for this second extension. The irreducible DHR sector $(0, 10, 0)$ has spin -1 , and this sector still gives an irreducible DHR sector of index 1 and spin -1 after the α -induction applied to the local extension. This gives a unique Fermionic extension as above.

(9) Now we have the label (E_6, D_8) for $m = 12$. The irreducible DHR sectors $(0, 0, 0)$, $(6, 0, 0)$, $(0, 12, 0)$, $(6, 12, 0)$ give a local Q -system, since this is a further index 2 extension of the local extension given in the above (5). Uniqueness holds for this second extension. The irreducible DHR sector $(10, 0, 0)$ has spin -1 , and this sector still gives an irreducible DHR sector of index 1 and spin -1 after the α -induction applied to the local extension. This gives a unique Fermionic extension as above.

All the above give the following classification theorem.

Theorem 36. *The following gives a complete list of superconformal nets with $c < 3/2$ and the dual canonical endomorphisms for the Bosonic part extending SVir_c^0 in each case is given as in Table 1.*

1. The super Virasoro net with $c = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{8}{m(m+2)} \right)$, labelled with (A_{m-1}, A_{m+1}) .
2. Index 2 extensions of the above (1), labeled with $(A_{4m'-1}, D_{2m'+2}), (D_{2m'+2}, A_{4m'+3})$.
3. Six exceptionals labelled with $(A_9, E_6), (E_6, A_{13}), (A_{27}, E_8), (E_8, A_{31}), (D_6, E_6), (E_6, D_8)$.

A Appendixes

A.1 Topological covers and covering symmetries

If X is a connected manifold, we denote by $\text{DIFF}(X)$ the group of diffeomorphisms of X and by $\text{Diff}(X)$ the *connected component of the identity* of $\text{DIFF}(X)$ ⁶.

Let X be compact, connected manifold; then $\text{DIFF}(X)$ is an infinite dimensional Lie group modelled on the locally convex topological vector space $\text{Vect}(X)$. Denote by $\tilde{X}$ the universal covering of X and by $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ the covering map. The fundamental group $\Gamma \equiv \pi_1(X)$ acts on $\tilde{X}$ by deck transformations: $p(\gamma x) = p(x)$ for $x \in \tilde{X}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Denote by $\text{Diff}^\Gamma(\tilde{X})$ the subgroup of $\text{Diff}(\tilde{X})$ of diffeomorphisms commuting with the Γ -action. Given $g \in \text{Diff}^\Gamma(\tilde{X})$ there exists a unique $P(g) \in \text{Diff}(X)$

$$P(g)(x) \equiv p(g\tilde{x}), \quad x \in X$$

with $\tilde{x}$ any point in $\tilde{X}$ s.t. $p(\tilde{x}) = x$. The map $P : g \mapsto P(g)$ is clearly a continuous homomorphism of $\text{Diff}^\Gamma(\tilde{X})$ into $\text{Diff}(X)$. It is not difficult to check that P is surjective. Indeed any $g \in \text{DIFF}(X)$ has a lift to an element of $\text{DIFF}^\Gamma(\tilde{X})$ by the uniqueness of $\tilde{X}$, thus P extends to a surjective continuous homomorphism $\text{DIFF}^\Gamma(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{DIFF}(X)$, that thus map the connected component of the identity to the connected component of the identity. More directly, if $g \in \text{Diff}(X)$ is sufficiently small neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ the identity, one can lift $g \in \mathcal{U}$

⁶If X is oriented, in general $\text{Diff}(X)$ is smaller than the of orientation preserving subgroup of $\text{DIFF}(X)$, see [49]

to an element of $\text{Diff}^\Gamma(\tilde{X})$ because p is a local diffeomorphism; as $\text{Diff}(X)$ is generated by $\mathcal{U}$, all elements of $\text{Diff}(X)$ have such a lift.

Denote by $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(X)$ the universal central cover of $\text{Diff}(X)$. Then $\widetilde{\text{Diff}}(X)$ is an infinite dimensional Lie group with center $Z \equiv \pi_1(\text{Diff}(X))$ and we denote by q the quotient map $q : \widetilde{\text{Diff}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(X)$ whose kernel is Z .

If $\{g_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a loop in $\text{Diff}(X)$ with $g_0 = g_1 = \iota$ and $x_0 \in X$, then $\{g_t(x_0)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ is a loop in X with $g_0(x_0) = g_1(x_0) = x_0$. Homotopic loops in $\text{Diff}(X)$ clearly go to homotopic loops in X and we thus obtain a homomorphism

$$\Phi_0 : \pi_1(\text{Diff}(X)) \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \quad (65)$$

This homomorphism is certainly not surjective ($\pi_1(\text{Diff}(X))$ is always abelian, $\pi_1(X)$ may be not).

Proposition 37. *There exists a unique continuous homomorphism $\Phi : \widetilde{\text{Diff}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^\Gamma(\tilde{X})$ such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\text{Diff}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \text{Diff}^\Gamma(\tilde{X}) \\ & \searrow q \quad \swarrow P & \\ & \text{Diff}(X) & \end{array}$$

Φ restricts to a homomorphism

$$\Phi_0 : \pi_1(\text{Diff}(X)) (= Z) \rightarrow \text{center of } \pi_1(X) \quad (66)$$

that coincides with the one given above in eq. (65).

Thus $\pi_1(X)$ is a quotient of $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(X))$. However Φ_0 is not injective in general. For example $\pi_1(S^2) = \{\iota\}$ while $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(S^2)) = \pi_1(\text{Diff}(SO(3))) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ [49].

We end this appendix with the following proposition that has been used in the paper.

Proposition 38. *The group $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ is algebraically generated by $\text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1)$ as I varies in $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}$.*

Proof. As I varies in $\mathcal{I}$, $\text{Diff}_I(S^1)$ algebraically generates a normal subgroup of $\text{Diff}(S^1)$, hence all $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ because this is a simple group [49]. With rot the rotation one-parameter subgroup of $\text{Diff}(S^1)$, we denote by $\text{rot}^{(2)}$ its lift to $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$. Let H be the subgroup of $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ algebraically generated by the $\text{Diff}_I^{(2)}(S^1)$'s and $z \equiv \text{rot}^{(2)}(2\pi)$ the generator of the center of $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1)$ ($\simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$). With $q : \text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(S^1)$ the quotient map, we then have $q(H) = \text{Diff}(S^1)$ and $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1) = H \cup Hz$. If $z \in H$ we then have $\text{Diff}^{(2)}(S^1) = H$ as desired. So we may assume $z \notin H$. Then $q|_H$ is one-to-one, namely an isomorphism between H and $\text{Diff}(S^1)$. Consider now the one-parameter subgroup of H given by $\text{rot}'(\theta) \equiv q^{-1}(\text{rot}(\theta))$. Clearly $q(\text{rot}'(\theta)) = \text{rot}(\theta) = q(\text{rot}^{(2)}(\theta))$, so $\text{rot}^{(2)}(\theta) = \text{rot}'(\theta)z^m$ where $m = 0$ or $m = 1$ depending on θ . So $\text{rot}^{(2)}(2\theta) = \text{rot}'(2\theta)$ for all θ , thus $\text{rot}^{(2)}$ and rot' coincide, in particular $z = \text{rot}'(2\pi) = 1$ which is a contradiction. $\square$

A.2 McKean-Singer formula

Let Γ be a selfadjoint unitary on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, thus $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ where $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ is the $\pm$ -eigenspace of Γ . A linear operator Q on $\mathcal{H}$ is *even* if it commutes with Γ , namely $Q\mathcal{H}_\pm \subset \mathcal{H}_\pm$, and *odd* if $\Gamma Q \Gamma^{-1} = -Q$, namely

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q_- \\ Q_+ & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $Q_\pm : \mathcal{H}_\pm \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mp$. Clearly $Q_+^* = Q_-$ if Q is selfadjoint. Note that Q^2 is even if Q is odd.

We shall denote by $\text{Tr}_s = \text{Tr}(\Gamma \cdot)$ the *supertrace* on $B(\mathcal{H})$. Clearly the supertrace depends on Γ , but the Hilbert spaces in the following will be equipped with a grading unitary on which the definition of Tr_s will be naturally based. Note that if $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ then $\text{Tr}_s(T)$ is well-defined and finite iff T is a trace class operator, i.e. $\text{Tr}(|T|) < \infty$. Indeed $|\Gamma T| = |T|$.

We recall the following well known lemma.

Lemma 39. McKean-Singer formula. *Let Q be a selfadjoint odd linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$. If e^{-tQ^2} is trace class for some $t_0 > 0$ then $\text{Tr}_s(e^{-tQ^2})$ is an integer independent of $t > t_0$ and indeed*

$$\text{Tr}_s(e^{-tQ^2}) = \text{ind}(Q_+)$$

where $\text{ind}(Q_+) \equiv \dim \ker(Q_+) - \dim \ker(Q_+^*)$ is the Fredholm index of Q_+ .

Proof. If $t > t_0$ both traces $\text{Tr}(e^{-tQ^2})$ and $\text{Tr}(Q^2 e^{-tQ^2})$ are convergent and we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{Tr}_s(e^{-tQ^2}) = -\text{Tr}_s(Q^2 e^{-tQ^2}) .$$

But $\text{Tr}_s(Q^2 e^{-tQ^2}) = 0$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_s(Q^2 e^{-tQ^2}) &= \text{Tr}(\Gamma Q^2 e^{-tQ^2}) = -\text{Tr}(Q \Gamma Q e^{-tQ^2}) \\ &= -\text{Tr}(\Gamma Q e^{-tQ^2} Q) = -\text{Tr}(\Gamma Q^2 e^{-tQ^2}) = -\text{Tr}_s(Q^2 e^{-tQ^2}) , \end{aligned}$$

thus $\text{Tr}_s(e^{-tQ^2})$ is constant. Therefore

$$\text{Tr}_s(e^{-tQ^2}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Tr}_s(e^{-tQ^2}) = \text{Tr}(\Gamma E)$$

where E is the projection onto $\ker(Q^2)$. But $Q^2 = Q_+^* Q_+ \oplus Q_-^* Q_-$ in the graded decomposition of $\mathcal{H}$, thus $\ker(Q^2) = \ker(Q_+) \oplus \ker(Q_-)$ and so

$$\text{Tr}(\Gamma E) = \dim \ker(Q_+) - \dim \ker(Q_-) = \text{ind}(Q_+) .$$

□

B Outlook

A potential development of this work concerns the relation with the Noncommutative Geometrical framework of A. Connes [14]. The supersymmetric sector with lowest weight $c/24$ in Section 6.4 gives rise in a natural way to an infinite dimensional spectral triple whose Chern character is given by the JLO cyclic cocycle [32], but for a domain problem verification (see also [7] where an analogous domain problem is settled). According to the lines indicated in [44], we expect the computation of this cocycle to be of interest in relation to a QFT index theorem.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank F. Xu for useful conversations. Our collaboration was carried on in different occasions: R.L. wishes to thank V.G. Kac for the invitation at the Schödinger Institute summer school in June 2005; S.C. and R.L. thank Y. Kawahigashi for the invitations at the University of Tokyo in November 2005 and December 2006 respectively.

References

- [1] B. Bakalov, N. Nikolov, K.-H. Rehren & I. Todorov, *Unitary positive-energy representations of scalar bilocal quantum fields*, Commun. Math. Phys. **271** (2007) 223-246.
- [2] J. Böckenhauer, *Localized endomorphisms of the chiral Ising model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **177** (1996), 265-304.
- [3] J. Böckenhauer & D.E. Evans, *Modular invariants from subfactors: type I coupling matrices and intermediate subfactors*, Commun. Math. Phys. **213** (2000), 267-289.
- [4] J. Böckenhauer, D. E. Evans & Y. Kawahigashi, *On α -induction, chiral projectors and modular invariants for subfactors*, Commun. Math. Phys. **208** (1999) 429-487.
- [5] R. Brunetti, D. Guido & R. Longo, *Modular structure and duality in conformal quantum field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **156** (1993) 201-219.
- [6] D. Buchholz, C. D’Antoni & R. Longo, *Nuclearity and thermal states in conformal field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **270** (2007) 267 - 293
- [7] D. Buchholz & H. Grundling, *Algebraic supersymmetry: A case study*, Commun. Math. Phys. Commun. Math. Phys. **272** (2007), 699-750.
- [8] D. Buchholz & H. Schulz-Mirbach, *Haag duality in conformal quantum field theory*, Rev. Math. Phys. **2** (1990), 105-125.
- [9] A. Cappelli, *Modular invariant partition functions of superconformal theories*, Phys. Lett. **B 185** (1987) 82-88.
- [10] A. Cappelli, C. Itzykson & J.-B. Zuber, *The A-D-E classification of minimal and $A_1^{(1)}$ conformal invariant theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **113** (1987) 1-26.
- [11] S. Carpi, *Classification of subsystems for the Haag-Kastler nets generated by $c = 1$ chiral current algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. **47**, 353-364 (1999).
- [12] S. Carpi, *On the representation theory of Virasoro nets*, Commun. Math. Phys. **244** 261-284 (2004).
- [13] S. Carpi & M. Weiner, *On the uniqueness of diffeomorphism symmetry in conformal field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **258**, 203-221 (2005).
- [14] A. Connes, “Noncommutative Geometry” Academic Press (1994).
- [15] C. D’Antoni, K. Fredenhagen & S. Köster, *Implementation of conformal covariance by diffeomorphism symmetry*, Lett. Math. Phys. **67**, 239 - 247 (2004).
- [16] C. D’Antoni, R. Longo & F. Radulescu: *Conformal nets, maximal temperature and models from free probability*, J. Operator Theory **45**, 195-208 (2001).
- [17] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu & D. Sénéchal, “Conformal Field Theory”, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer Verlag 1996.

- [18] S. Doplicher, R. Haag & J.E. Roberts, *Local observables and particle statistics. I & II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **23**, 199-230 (1971) & **35**, 49-85 (1974).
- [19] D.E. Evans & Y. Kawahigashi, “Quantum Symmetries on Operator Algebras”, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [20] D. Friedan, Z. Qiu & S. Shenker, *Superconformal invariance in two dimensions and the tricritical Ising model*, Phys. Lett. **151B**, 37 (1985).
- [21] J. Fuchs, B. Schellekens & C. Schweigert, *The resolution of field identification fixed points in diagonal coset theories*, Nucl. Phys. **B 461** 371 (1996).
- [22] T. Gannon, *Towards a classification of $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ modular invariant partition functions*, J. Math. Phys. **36** 675–706 (1995).
- [23] T. Gannon & M. A. Walton, *On the classification of diagonal coset modular invariants*, Commun. Math. Phys. **173** 175–197 (1995).
- [24] P. Goddard, A. Kent & D. Olive, *Unitary representations of the Virasoro and super-Virasoro algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **103**, 105-119 (1986).
- [25] R. Goodman & N. R. Wallach, *Projective unitary positive-energy representations of $\text{Diff}(S^1)$* , J. Funct. Anal. **63**, 299–321 (1985).
- [26] D. Guido & R. Longo, *Relativistic invariance and charge conjugation in quantum field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **148**, 521–555 (1992).
- [27] D. Guido & R. Longo, *The conformal spin and statistics theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **181**, 11 (1996).
- [28] D. Guido & R. Longo, *A converse Hawking-Unruh effect and dS^2/CFT correspondence*, Ann. H. Poincaré **4**, 1169-1218 (2003).
- [29] R. Haag, “Local Quantum Physics”, Springer-Verlag (1996).
- [30] M. Henkel, “Conformal Invariance and Critical Phenomena”, Texts and monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1999).
- [31] Y.-Z. Huang, *Vertex operator algebras, the Verlinde conjecture, and modular tensor categories*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **102**, 5352-5356 (2005).
- [32] A. Jaffe, A. Lesniewski & K. Osterwalder, *Quantum K-theory I. The Chern character*, Commun. Math. Phys. **118** 1-14 (1988).
- [33] V.F.R. Jones, *Index for subfactors*, Invent. Math. **72** 1-25 (1983).
- [34] V. G. Kac & A. K. Raina, “Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras”, World Scientific, Singapore, 1987.
- [35] Y. Kawahigashi & R. Longo, *Classification of local conformal nets. Case $c < 1$* , Ann. of Math. **160** (2004), 493–522.
- [36] Y. Kawahigashi & R. Longo, *Classification of two-dimensional local conformal nets with $c < 1$ and 2-cohomology vanishing for tensor categories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **244** (2004) 63–97.
- [37] Y. Kawahigashi & R. Longo, *Noncommutative spectral invariants and black hole entropy*, Commun. Math. Phys. **257**, 193-225 (2005).
- [38] Y. Kawahigashi, R. Longo & M. Müger, *Multi-interval subfactors and modularity of representations in conformal field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **219**, 631–669 (2001).
- [39] Y. Kawahigashi, R. Longo, U. Pennig, U. & K.-H. Rehren, *The classification of non-local chiral CFT with $c < 1$* , Commun. Math. Phys. **271**, 375 - 385 (2007).
- [40] T. Loke, “Operator algebras and conformal field theory of the discrete series representation of $\text{Diff}^+(S^1)$ ”. PhD Thesis, University of Cambridge, 1994.
- [41] R. Longo, *Index of subfactors and statistics of quantum fields. I*, Commun. Math. Phys. **126** (1989) 217–247.
- [42] R. Longo, *Index of subfactors and statistics of quantum fields. II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **130**, 285-309 (1990).
- [43] R. Longo, *An analogue of the Kac-Wakimoto formula and black hole conditional entropy*, Commun. Math. Phys. **186**, 451-479 (1997).

- [44] R. Longo, *Notes for a quantum index theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **222** (2001) 45-96.
- [45] R. Longo & K.H. Rehren, *Nets of subfactors*, Rev. Math. Phys. **4**, 567-597 (1995).
- [46] R. Longo & K.H. Rehren, *Local fields in boundary CFT*, Rev. Math. Phys. **16**, 909-960 (2004).
- [47] R. Longo & F. Xu, *Topological sectors and a dichotomy in conformal field theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **251**, 321-364 (2004).
- [48] R. Longo, *Conformal subnets and intermediate subfactors*, Commun. Math. Phys. **237** (2003), 7-30.
- [49] J. W. Milnor, *Remarks on infinite dimensional Lie groups*, in: "Relativity, groups, and topology II, Les Houches sessions", de Witt, Stora, ed., vol XL, 1983, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1984
- [50] K.-H. Rehren, *Braid group statistics and their superselection rules*, in "The Algebraic Theory of Superselection Sectors", D. Kastler ed., World Scientific 1990.
- [51] J. E. Roberts, *More lectures on algebraic quantum field theory*, in: "Noncommutative Geometry", S. Doplicher and R. Longo eds., Lecture Notes in Math. **1831**, p. 263-342, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004
- [52] V. Toledano Laredo, *Integrating unitary representations of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **161** (1999), 478-508 (1999).
- [53] M. Wakimoto, "Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras", Providence, RI: AMS 1999.
- [54] A. Wassermann, *Operator algebras and conformal field theory III: Fusion of positive energy representations of $SU(N)$ using bounded operators*, Invent. Math. **133** (1998) 467-538.
- [55] M. Weiner, *Conformal covariance and positivity of energy in charged sectors*, Commun. Math. Phys. **265**, 493 - 506 (2006).
- [56] M. Weiner, "Conformal covariance and related properties of chiral QFT", Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Rome "Tor Vergata" 2005, arXiv:math/0703336.
- [57] J. Wess & J. Bagger, "Supersymmetry and Supergravity". Second edition. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1992.
- [58] F. Xu, *Algebraic orbifold conformal field theory*, in "Mathematical Physics in Mathematics and Physics", R. Longo ed., Fields Institute Communications Vol. 30, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI 2001.
- [59] F. Xu, *New braided endomorphisms from conformal inclusions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **192** (1998) 347-403.
- [60] F. Xu, *Algebraic coset conformal field theories I*, Commun. Math. Phys. **211** (2000) 1-44.
- [61] F. Xu, *Algebraic coset conformal field theories II*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **35** (1999) 795-824.
- [62] F. Xu, *On a conjecture of Kac-Wakimoto*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **37** (2001) 165-190.
- [63] F. Xu, *3-manifolds invariants from cosets*, J. Knot Theory Ramif. **14** (2005) 21-90.
- [64] F. Xu, *Mirror extensions of local nets*, Commun. Math. Phys. **270** (2007) 835-847.